

SC 351/2023  
STATE Vs. PANKAJ AND ORS (4)  
FIR No. 200/2023  
PS Shabad Dairy  
U/s.302/363/201/120B/34 IPC  
& 25/27Arms Act

10.09.2025

File taken up today on an application U/s. 439 Cr. P. C  
for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Harshit**.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
Sh. Raj Kumar Chandiwal, Ld. Counsel for  
applicant/accused.

Reply to the above said application has already been  
received from IO.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits  
that applicant/accused is in JC since 25.01.2023 and he has been  
falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that  
applicant/accused is an innocent person and as such not committed  
the alleged offence in any manner and neither any incriminating  
evidence is against him to prove the said offence. It is further  
submitted that alleged offences were not made out against the  
applicant/accused and there was no role attributed to the  
applicant/accused in the alleged offence. It is further submitted  
that present case is at the stage of evidence and trial will take a  
long time. It is further submitted that in the present case co-  
accused persons have already been granted bail. It is prayed that  
above said application may kindly be allowed on the ground of  
parity.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly

Continued to page no. 2

SC 351/2023  
STATE Vs. PANKAJ AND ORS (4)  
FIR No. 200/2023  
PS Shabad Dairy  
U/s.302/363/201/120B/34 IPC  
& 25/27Arms Act

opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 28.08.2024 charges for the offences punishable U/s. 302/201/120B/34 IPC have been framed against the applicant/accused **Harshit** and his co-accused persons with the allegation that applicant/accused **Harshit** and his co-accused persons hatched a criminal conspiracy to commit murder of Manjeet and pursuant thereto as well as, in furtherance of their common intention, they all committed murder of deceased Manjeet by firing a gun shot on his head and thrown the dead body in GANDA NALA at E-Block, DUSIB.

The case of prosecution is based on circumstantial evidence as Ms. Ram Kali (mother of deceased) had stated in her statement U/s. 161 Cr. P. C. that applicant/accused **Harshit**, Vikram, Pankaj, Ashish, Cheta, Vipin and Sunny had come to her

Continued to page no. 3

SC 351/2023  
STATE Vs. PANKAJ AND ORS (4)  
FIR No. 200/2023  
PS Shabad Dairy  
U/s.302/363/201/120B/34 IPC  
& 25/27 Arms Act

house on 08.01.2023 at about 6:00 P.M. (evening) and they had taken her son Manjeet (deceased) alongwith themselves. Nothing incriminating applicant/accused **Harshit** has come in the testimony of PW-6 Ms. Ram Kali, who is the material witness on whom the last seen theory of prosecution is based. Applicant/accused is in JC since 25.01.2023. No doubt trial shall take time. It is pertinent to mention that in the present case co-accused persons namely Pankaj and Vikram have already been granted bail, therefore, on the ground of parity, it is ordered that applicant/accused **Harshit** be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- with two sureties in the like amount subject to the satisfaction of following conditions:-

- (i) Applicant/accused is directed not to threaten prosecution witnesses in any manner or tamper with evidence or indulge in any other criminal activity in future;
- (ii) Applicant/accused shall not commit similar offences in future. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled on an application of investigating agency in this regard.

The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing

SC 351/2023  
STATE Vs. PANKAJ AND ORS (4)  
FIR No. 200/2023  
PS Shabad Dairy  
U/s.302/363/201/120B/34 IPC  
& 25/27Arms Act

stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case. Application stands disposed of. Bail application file be tagged with the main case file. Copy of the order be given dasti.

(Sushil Kumar)  
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04  
North District Rohini Courts Delhi  
10.09.2025