

Hearing through Video Conferencing by Cisco Webex Meeting App

State Vs. Mukthyar
FIR No. 609/2016
PS: New Usmanpur
U/s: 392/397/411/34 IPC

29.07.2020

Present: Sh. Sudhakar Singh Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
Sh. Ashok Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Submission on the application heard.

The applicant/accused Mukthyar was admitted to bail vide orders dated 27-08-2019 and it is stated that he is still in custody as the surety bonds furnished were not accepted by the Court on the ground of solvency of the surety. It is prayed that either the applicant be released on personal bonds or the bond amount be reduced.

The prayed is opposed by the learned APP submitting that the applicant is facing the trial for offence punishable u/s 397 IPC and he be not released on personal bonds alone as he has jumped the bail once.

Considering the facts of the case where the applicant has jumped the bail and the gravity of the offence, I am of the view that no indulgence is required in modification of the orders. The applicant may furnish the surety bonds of other relative or surety. Application is dismissed.

(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)
Special Judge (NDPS)/ASJ
North East, Delhi 30.07.2020