



Present- Sri Satyajit Das
Civil Judge (Sr. Div.), Court No. 2,
West Tripura, Agartala

Case No. TS 149 of 2022

09/04/2026

Today, this suit is fixed for filing original documents by the parties/hearing on issues/framing of issues.

Learned counsel Mr. L. R. Khadim for the plaintiff is present by filing his memo of appearance.

Learned counsel Mr. M. K. Arya for the defendants is also present by filing his memo of appearance.

The plaintiff has filed an application under section 151 of CPC through his learned engaged counsel praying for giving direction to the defendants to de-freeze the saving account vide No. 216701500782 lying in the Bank of the defendants i.e., ICICI Bank Ltd., Badharghat Branch.

Moving the aforesaid application learned counsel of the plaintiff submitted that the defendant Branch Manager has willfully disobeyed/violated the order dated 02.09.2024 passed by this Court in CM (Inj) 99 of 2022 and most illegally freeze the said saving account of the plaintiff and prayed for directing the defendants to de-freeze the said saving account of the plaintiff.

On the other hand, learned counsel of the defendants submitted that this Court vide aforesaid order dated 02.09.2024 restrained the defendants from proceeding further with the auction sale of the Gold ornaments pledged/mortgaged by the plaintiff with the defendants while obtaining gold loan and there is no connection of the aforesaid saving account of the plaintiff with the said order. He further submitted that the said account number



of the plaintiff has no connection with the present suit. Therefore, the present application cannot be considered by the Court and prayed for rejecting the same.

Perused the aforesaid application including the order dated 02.09.2024 passed in CM (Inj) 99 of 2022.

On perusal of the aforesaid order, I find that there is no mention about the said saving account number of the plaintiff in the said order. Further, there is also no whisper in the injunction petition as well as in the plaint of the plaintiff about the said saving account number of the plaintiff. The plaintiff has basically filed the present suit for a decree of perpetual injunction restraining the defendants and their men and agents from selling the gold ornaments mortgaged with the defendant Bank by way of auction and this Court vide said order dated 02.09.2024 restrained the defendants from proceeding further with the auction sale of the Gold ornaments pledged/mortgaged by the plaintiff with the defendants while obtaining gold loan. Hence, I find no force on the submission of learned counsel of the plaintiff that the defendants have violated the said order of the Court. There is no connection between the said order and freezing, if any, of the aforesaid saving account number of the plaintiff. Hence, I do not find any scope to entertain the present application filed by the plaintiff.

Accordingly, the present application stands rejected.

The plaintiff has also filed another application through his learned engaged counsel for time bound expeditious hearing and early disposal of the instant suit.

In this regard, on perusal of the case record I find that though suit was filed on 24.11.2022 but subsequently the plaintiff has



filed application for amendment of his plaint which was allowed by this Court on 14.05.2024. Thereafter, on 18.07.2024 the plaintiff has filed his amended plaint and after that hearing the learned counsels of both the side this case was referred for mediation. Thereafter, on 25.06.2025 report of mediation as **“not settled”** has been received by this Court and after that date has been fixed for filing original documents by the parties. The plaintiff has not filed his original documents till date and today the learned counsel of the plaintiff has submitted that they have no original documents to be filed in this case and prayed for framing issues. Case record also speaks that on the last date only hazira was filed from the side of plaintiff. None was present from the side of plaintiff when the case was taken up hearing.

Hence, from the above noted facts it is clear that this suit has been dragging due to the latches on the part of the plaintiff. Had the plaintiff filed his original documents earlier and prayed for closing the chapter of filing documents by the defendants, issues could have been framed earlier. There is no requirement of filing this type of application. What is required is to remain present before the Court on the date fixed and make their submissions.

However, considering the over all facts and circumstances of this case, the aforesaid application filed by the plaintiff for expeditious hearing and early disposal of this Case is hereby allowed and below mentioned time table is accordingly framed for early disposal of this suit.

The chapter of filing original documents by the plaintiff as well as the defendants is hereby closed.



Date	Purpose
28.04.2026	For hearing/framing issues.
14.05.2026	For filing list of witnesses by the parties.
28.05.2026	For Filing examination-in-chief of PWs.
06.06.2026	For Cross-examination of PWs.
19.06.2026	For filing examination-in-chief of DWs.
04.07.2026	For Cross-examination of DWs.
27.07.2026	For Argument.
11.08.2026	For Judgment.

Next date be fixed for hearing on issues/framing of issues.

The learned counsels are at liberty to file their draft issues, if any, on the next date.

Fix **28.04.2026** for hearing on issues/framing of issues.

As dictated.

09/04/2026.