

Bail Matters 976/2026
GURPREET SINGH Vs. STATE (NCT OF DELHI)
E-FIR No. 80037789/2026
PS Swaroop Nagar
U/s. 305/331(3) BNS

29.05.2026

This application U/s. 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Gurpreet Singh** is placed before me in terms of order No. 18230-18256 Judl /Bail /North /RC /2026, dated 30.04.2026 of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi.

Present: Sh. J. S. Malik, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Mayank and Ms. Chhavi, Ld. Counsels for complainant.
Sh. Sahib Rajput & Sh. Parmender Kumar,
Ld.Counsels for applicant/accused.
IO/HC Balister Singh in person.

Reply to the above said application has been filed by IO. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case arising out of an ongoing landlord-tenant dispute between the parties. It is further submitted that no recovery, incriminating material, CCTV identification or independent witness statement has been brought on record linking the applicant/accused with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that as per the FIR no specific identification of the alleged offender has been made and the allegations are based on assumption and suspicion only. It is

Continued to page no. 2

Bail Matters 976/2026
GURPREET SINGH Vs. STATE (NCT OF DELHI)
E-FIR No. 80037789/2026
PS Swaroop Nagar
U/s. 305/331(3) BNS

further submitted that present case appears to be an outcome of civil dispute relating to tenancy and has been given a criminal complexion to exert pressure upon the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that applicant/accused had already left Delhi seven hour before the FIR was registered in the ordinary course of his employment as a taxi driver and was not available at the time when the FIR came to be registered. It is further submitted that no application of applicant/accused is pending either before any other Court including Superior Courts. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Briefly stated, the present FIR bearing no. E-FIR No. 80037789/2026 has been registered at PS Swaroop Nagar U/s. 305/331(3) BNS. As per the submissions of IO, applicant/accused has joined the investigation but he has not been

Bail Matters 976/2026
GURPREET SINGH Vs. STATE (NCT OF DELHI)
E-FIR No. 80037789/2026
PS Swaroop Nagar
U/s. 305/331(3) BNS

co-operating during the investigation. It is argued on behalf of applicant/accused **Gurpreet Singh** that he was not present at his home i.e. place of occurrence on the date of incident. However, IO has informed that as per CDR of applicant/accused **Gurpreet Singh**, he was present at the place of occurrence till about 05:30 P.M. It is mentioned in the reply filed by IO that custodial interrogation of applicant/accused **Gurpreet Singh** is required for recovery of case property i.e. jewellery and cash allegedly stolen from the house of complainant. Investigation of the present case is at initial stage.

In view of the above discussion this Court is not inclined to allow the present anticipatory bail application of applicant/accused **Gurpreet Singh**. Same is dismissed, accordingly.

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties. The present anticipatory bail application file be consigned to record room.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
29.05.2026