

19 CS DJ 410/23 VIJAY KUMAR JAIN Vs. RAMESH LADHA
15.01.2026.

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
alongwith plaintiff.
Sh. Hitesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for defendant Nos. 4,5 & 6.
Mr. Anirudh Garg, Mr. G.S. Bhatia Mr. Simran Gulia, &
Sh. Bharat, Ld. Counsels for the defendant no. 10/DDA.
Sh. Pravesh Dahiya, Ld. Counsel for defendant No. 9.
None for defendant Nos. 1,2 & 7.
Sh. Vijay Parjapati, Ld. Counsel for defendant No. 8.
Mr. Amandeep, Ld. Proxy counsel for defendant No. 3.

Application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by defendant No. 10 for deletion of the name.

At the outset, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that plaintiff has no objection, if the name of defendant No. 10/DDA is deleted from the array of parties. Separate statement as made by plaintiff. In view of the submissions of Ld. Counsel of plaintiff and statement, **defendant No. 10/DDA is hereby deleted from the array of party. Consequently, application stands disposed off.**

Application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by the plaintiff for impleadment of Laxman Dass Bansal, Sh. Dharabmvir Yadav, Sh. Braham Prakash and Smt. Vidyawati as defendants.

As per report, proposed defendant Braham Prakash had gone out and not returned despite two attempts made at the address. Ordinary process to Laxman Dass is received back with the report that no such person is residing at the address.

Steps for service to proposed defendant for publication have taken though report is not received. **Let the same be awaited.** Further, an application u/o 5 Rule 20 CPC is filed. Heard. Vide the application, service to proposed defendant Dharmvir Yadav is sought through publication or substituted means and it is stated that he is

residing at the very same address and no further address other than this address which has been provided in the case is available and he is deliberately avoiding the service. Heard. Record perused. Allowing this application, it is directed that notice of application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC to proposed defendant be served through **affixation on filing of PF. Ordinary process is directed to be affixed, in case addressee found unavailable or house found locked or refusal or not found residing therein and photographs be taken and be filed the with report on record.**

Memo of appearance on behalf of proposed defendant Vidyawati is filed. **Reply to this application is directed to be filed by K.K. Bansal and Dharmbir Yadav after supplying advance copy to the opposite counsel against acknowledgment.**

I.A. No. 1/25 (An application u/o 7 Rule 11 CPC filed on behalf of defendant No. 8.

Reply to this application is filed. Copy is supplied. **This application shall also be considered on the next date.**

Application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by defendnt No. 4,5 & 6 for deletion of their name is already on record.

Record perused. It shows that reply to this application is already fixed. Accordingly, put up for arguments on this application for next date.

Suit No. 410/2023.

Put up for further proceedings for 12.03.2026.

(Aanchal)
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
15.01.2026(amt)