

LAC 120/21  
Jai Parkash Vs. Union Of India And Others

27.10.2022

Present: Sh. M.C. Verma, counsel for petitioner.  
Ms. Sarita Aggarwal, counsel for R-1/UOI.  
Counsel for R-2/DDA.  
Sh. Shankar Raikwar, DEO from LAC office.  
Heard. Perused.

Counsel for the petitioner has already filed the amended memo of parties. It be taken on record.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, the right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are hereby framed:-

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount, and if so, at what rate? OPP
2. Relief.

No further issue arises or pressed.

Now to come up on 14.02.2023 for PE.

(Deepak Dabas)  
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts  
Delhi/27.10.2022