

CS DJ 58639/16

MITHLESH Vs. NARENDER KUMAR

17/03/2026

At 10:34 a.m.

Present : Sh. Abhishek Saxena, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

None for defendant.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff states that defendant is in possession and is delaying the case. It is submitted that even order of cost of Rs. 5,000/- by way of DD of 23/05/2025 in favour of plaintiff has not been complied with. It is also submitted that even order dated 23/12/2025 of cost of Rs. 5,000/- by way of DD in favour of plaintiff has not been complied with.

Be awaited for presence on behalf of defendant.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-02/NORTH/ROHINI
DELHI/17/03/2026

At 11:58 a.m.

Present : Sh. Abhishek Saxena, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Tilak Raj Sangwan, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Fresh Vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of defendant.

Ld. Counsel for defendant states that matter is likely to be compromised. This submission is not agreed to by Counsel opposite. Even plaintiff, who is present in the Court states that no

talks of compromise are going on. Request for adjournment on behalf of defendant is declined.

Heard. File perused.

Perusal of file shows that defendant is in possession. He is delaying the case. Even order of cost of Rs. 5,000/- by way of DD of 23/05/2025 in favour of plaintiff has not been complied with. Even order dated 23/12/2025 of cost of Rs. 5,000/- by way of DD in favour of plaintiff has not been complied with.

Consequences under Section 35B CPC follow. Defendant is barred from prosecuting his defence.

At request of Ld. Counsel for plaintiff, to come up for final arguments on **08/06/2026**.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-02/NORTH/ROHINI
DELHI/17/03/2026