

PC 23/24 SUDESH KAUR Vs. State (nct Of Delhi)
03.06.2026

Present: Sh. J.K. Sharma, Counsel for the petitioner via VC.
None for R-1/State.
Dr. Meenakshi Kalra, counsel for R-2 to R-4.
Sh. Nitin Kalra, counsel for R-5 to R-7.
R-5 through VC from Canada.
R-6 & R-7 in person.

R-7 has the SPA in his favour by R-5. R-5 through VC has corroborated this fact. She has also stated that she has no objection, if the probate/LOA is granted in favour of the petitioner. The NOC by R-6 & R-7 is already on record. R-5 has adopted the same. Accordingly, it is observed that R-5 to R-7 have no objections to the grant of reliefs to the petitioner.

R-2 to R-4 have already filed the objections. Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to file the rejoinder.

Time also sought by the petitioner to address arguments on the maintainability in line with order dt. 08.10.2025.

To come up for further proceedings on **04.11.2026**.

(Sidharth Mathur)
District Judge-01(N)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/03.06.2026/ak