

LAC No. 138/19
Zile Singh vs UOI

24.08.2021

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Cisco Webex.

Present: Sh. M.C. Verma, counsel for the petitioner.

Sh. Satish Rana, counsel for R-1/UOI.

None for R-2.

R-2 has not filed the WS despite given last opportunity.

None has joined the proceedings on behalf of R-2 despite repeated calls through VC. Therefore, it is apparent that R-2 is not interested in filing the WS. Hence, the right of R-2 to file the WS stands closed.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication to the WS of R-1. Accordingly, the right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are hereby framed:-

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount, and if so, at what rate? OPP

2. Relief.

No further issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 02.02.2022.

(Pankaj Gupta)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/24.08.2021