

LAC No. 225/19

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. S.K. Yadav, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Vartika Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the JD
no.2/DDA.

Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC North.

Sh. Jai Prakash, Kanoongo from DDA.

Ms. Sarita Aggarwal, counsel for R-1/UOI and
DSIDC through VC.

BTF Filed. Taken on record.

The LR verification report has been filed. Be taken
on record.

Ammended memo of parties has also been filed. Be
taken on record.

Vide order dated 03.08.2021, four weeks time was
granted to file the reply.

R-2 Govt. of NCT of Delhi has already been deleted
from the array of the parties.

On oral request of the counsel for the petitioner, R-
4 DSIDC has also been deleted from the array of parties as
counsel for the petitioner has submitted that DDA is the
beneficiary.

Put up for filing of reply on 05.05.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

LAC No. 226/19

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. S.K. Yadav, counsel for the petitioner.

Sh. Prabhanjan Jha, proxy counsel for counsel Ms. Aastha Gupta for DSIDC.

Ms. Vartika Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the JD no.2/DDA.

Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC North.

Sh. Jai Prakash, Kanoongo from DDA.

Ms. Sarita Aggarwal, counsel for R-1/UOI through VC.

BTF Filed. Taken on record.

The LR verification report has been filed. Be taken on record.

Ammended memo of parties has also been filed. Be taken on record.

Vide order dated 03.08.2021, four weeks time was granted to file the reply.

R-2 Govt. of NCT of Delhi has already been deleted from the array of the parties.

On oral request of the counsel for the petitioner, R-4 DSIDC has also been deleted from the array of parties as counsel for the petitioner has submitted that DDA is the beneficiary.

Put up for filing of reply on 05.05.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

LAC No. 472/19

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. S.K. Yadav, counsel for the petitioner.

Ms. Chitali Jain, Ld. Counsel for R-1/UOI.

Sh. A.R.A. Vikram Shukla, Ld. Counsel for DDA.

Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC North.

Sh. Jai Prakash, Kanoongo from DDA.

An application u/o 1 Rule 10 r/w 151 CPC has been filed.

Heard.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he wants to delete R-2 Govt. of NCT of Delhi and want to implead DDA as R-2 as DDA is the beneficiary.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply the copy of the application to the counsel for the DDA during the course of the day.

Put up for filing of reply on the application on 05.05.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

LAC No. 511/19

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. I S Dahiya, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.

None for R-1/UOI.

Sh. Karan Kapoor, Ld. Counsel for R-2/DDA.

Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC North.

Sh. Jai Prakash, Kanoongo from DDA.

At request, put up on 10.05.2022 for filing of reply.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

PC No. 7/20

25.11.2021

Present: Petitioner with counsel Sh. Shrawanjeet Singh.
Sh. Om Prakash, Bailiff from the office of SDM
Alipur.

Bailiff has submitted that the measurement of the property has not been furnished by the petitioner and because of that the valuation report could not be filed.

Petitioner is directed to furnish the complete measurement to the bailiff who appeared in the court during the course of the day.

The publication has been done in the newspaper Veer Arjun.

R-2 and 3 are resident of Lucknow, therefore, fresh PF be filed for service of R-2 and 3 with registered envelope.

Put up for further proceedings on 10.03.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

CS DJ No. 78/21

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. Jagdish Arora, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff.

This is a suit u/o 37 CPC. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has moved an application in which he has stated that the service of the suit has been effected to the defendant on a prescribed format.

Upon asking by the court, the counsel for the plaintiff has submitted that a cash payment was received by the defendant and for repayment of the same, the defendant issued a cheque of Rs. 10 Lakhs in favour of the plaintiff and the same was got dishonored when presented by the plaintiff to the banker.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff is directed to furnish the mobile number of the defendant as well as email-ID, if possible.

Be put up on 30.09.2022 for further proceedings.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

CS DJ No. 318/21

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. Vijay Kumar Sharma, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff.

Defendant no. 1 in person.

Sh. Vikas Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the MCD.

Vakalatnama filed. It be taken on record.

Adjournment sought on behalf of MCD to file the WS as well as the reply on the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC. Heard allowed.

Defendant no. 1 has not filed the WS as well as the reply on the application and again sought adjournment.

The previous cost of Rs. 10,000/- imposed upon the defendant has also not been paid.

Again adjournment sought to file the reply.

There is no ground to grant the adjournment to the defendant no. 1 to file the reply and WS as he has failed to make the previous cost.

However, in the interest of justice, adjournment is granted subject to deposition of further cost of Rs. 10,000/-.

Put up for further proceedings on 08.12.2021.

The concerned JE and AE be also summoned to appear in person on the next date.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

CS DJ No. 386/21

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. Shamsheer Singh, Ld. counsel for the plaintiffs.

Defendant no. 1 with counsel Sh. Jugnu Saini.

Vakalatnama filed. It be taken on record.

Defendant 2,3 and 4 in person.

Adjournment sought on behalf of D-1,2,3 and 4 to file the WS as well as reply on the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC. Heard. Allowed.

The plaintiff has made Sh. Pawan Kumar as defendant no. 5 and today, he has submitted that inadvertently, he has made Pawan Kumar as defendant no. 5. He shall move an appropriate application for amendment during the course of the day.

Put up for filing of application on behalf of plaintiff to amend his plaint as well as filing of WS and reply on the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC on 27.01.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

CS DJ No. 495/21

25.11.2021

Present: Ms. Reema Roy, proxy counsel for counsel for the plaintiff.

This is a suit u/o 37 CPC based upon the cheque issued by the defendant to the plaintiff and the same was got dishonoured when presented by the plaintiff to the banker. As per the proposition of the law, the counsel for the plaintiff has not placed on record the original cheque and without filing the same, the suit cannot be treated u/o 37 CPC.

The main counsel Sh. Manoj Khatri is stated to be busy in Dwarka Courts.

On request, adjourned for 17.05.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

MISC DJ No. 507/21

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. R.K. Burman, Ld. counsel for the applicant.

Main file is received.

Submissions heard on the application for issuance of fresh cheques.

Three cheques out of which two are in favour of the applicant and one cheque in favour of LAC lying on the record have become stale. Hence, the cheques be sent back to the concerned bank for revalidation. The concerned Bank Manager is directed to send the cheques to the court after revalidation/afresh.

Put up for further proceedings on 17.12.2021.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

CS DJ 578/21

25.11.2021

Present: Ms. Kanika Madan, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff.

This is a suit u/o 37 of CPC. The bank statement of account of the plaintiff has been filed.

Heard. In view of the submissions made by the counsel for the plaintiff, let summons of the suit be served upon the defendants on the prescribed format on filing of PF/RC/Speed Post/Courier for 09.03.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

LAC No. 471/19

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. S K Yadav, Ld. counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Chitali Jain, Ld. Counsel for R-1/UOI.
Sh. Amit Kumar Mittal, Ld. Counsel for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC North.
Sh. Jai Prakash, Kanoongo from DDA.
At request, be put up on 08.02.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

PC No. 77/16

25.11.2021

Present: Petitioner with counsel Sh. A.T. Rao.

R-2 in person.

Today the case is fixed for RE.

No RW is present.

R-2 has submitted that his previous counsel wants to withdraw his vakalatnama.

Adjournment sought on behalf of R-2 to engage his new counsel.

Heard.

Perusal of the record reveals that for the last so many dates, adjournment has been sought on behalf of R-2 on some ground or the other and it appears that R-2 is not interested to lead his evidence despite ample opportunities being granted.

However, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to R-2 to lead evidence subject to cost of Rs. 10,000.

Be put up on 07.12.2021 for RE.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

LAC No. 438/16

25.11.2021

Present: Ms. Shashi Garg, Ld. Counsel for IP No. 2,3,4.

Sh. S.K. Sangwan, Ld. Counsel for IP No.1.

BTF filed. Taken on record.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments and for disposal of all
the pending applications on 14.03.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

PC No. 115/16

25.11.2021

Present: Ms. Kulwant Kaur, proxy counsel for counsel for the plaintiff.

Ms. Paramjeet Kaur, Ld. Counsel for the objector with objector.

At request, put up for arguments on all the pending applications on 10.03.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

LAC No. 153/19

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. Subhash Sehrawat, proxy counsel for petitioner.
Ms. Chitali Jain, Ld. Counsel for R-1/UOI.
Sh. Imran Khan, proxy counsel for counsel Ms.
Sarita Aggarwal for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC North.
Sh. Jai Prakash, Kanoongo from DDA.
The LR verification report has not been filed.
The same be filed on or before next date of hearing.
Put up for further proceedings on 07.04.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

Suresh Kumar Singhal V. Priti Gupta

CS DJ

25.11.2021

This is a suit for specific performance of the agreement dated 04.09.2021 with the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 r/w 151 CPC. It be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Vineet Rana, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Heard. In view of the submissions made by the counsel for the plaintiff, let the summons of the suit as well as notice of the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 r/w 151 CPC be served to the defendants on filing of PF/RC/speed post/courier and electronic mode also for next date of hearing.

Steps be taken within seven days.

Put up for further proceedings on 07.12.2021.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

PC No. 35/21

CS DJ

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. Rishikant Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.

The Goshwara Number has not been furnished in PC No. 05/15 decided by the court of Sh. Ajay Goel, Ld. ADJ-1 (N) on 18.05.2015 and the counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the goshwara number is with R-1 Manish Bhardwaj and make a submission that a court notice may kindly be issued to R-1 Manish Bhardwaj.

Heard. In view of the submissions, court notice be issued to Manish Bhardwaj on filing of PF for 21.12.2021.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

Ram Rati Vs. Statement

PC No. 28/18

25.11.2021

Present: Petitioner with counsel Sh. R K Singh.

On request of counsel for the petitioner, adjourned
for 08.12.2021.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

CS DJ 604/21

25.11.2021

Present: Sh. Amit Rana, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Ravinder Malik, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

The WS as well as reply of the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC has not been filed.

Adjournment sought to file the same.

Heard.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has submitted that some interim protection may kindly be granted as he has the apprehension that defendant may part with the agricultural land measuring 1 bigha i.e. 20/277th share in land comprising of Khasra NO. 21/23 (4-1), 21/24 (1-15), 34/2(4-16), 34/9(3-05) situated in the revenue estate of Village Hamid Pur, Delhi-36.

On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the defendant has submitted that he has received the summons of the suit ten days before and in a short period, he could not file the WS.

Adjournment granted to file the WS as well as reply to the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC. In the meantime, the defendant is restrained from selling, transferring or creating any third party interest in respect of the suit property till the next date of hearing.

Put up for filing of WS and for filing of reply on application 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC as well as for personal appearance of the defendant on 13.01.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021

CS DJ 518/21

25.11.2021

Present: Ms. Prabha Malik, counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Rohit, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

WS on behalf of defendant with reply of the application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 r/w 151 of CPC as well as reply of the application u/o 11 Rule 16 r/w 151 CPC has been filed with the vakalatnama. It be taken on record.

Copy supplied.

Put up for arguments on application u/o 39 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC on 17.01.2022.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North):Rohini Courts
Delhi/25.11.2021