

**LAC 78/22 UNION OF INDIA (19/05-06) Vs. GAON SABHA
BAWANA**

03.08.2026

Present: **Sh. Sunil Kr. Sangwan, counsel for IP-1/Gaon Sabha.**
 Sh. Rajesh Hooda, counsel for IP-2 Rameshwar.
 Sh. Harshit Dahiya, Counsel for IP-3, IP-5 & IP-6.
 Sh. Saurav Kumar, Counsel for IP-4 Brahm Prakash.
 IP-7 Sandeep Kumar in person.

It has been brought to the notice of this Court by all concerned that the present proceedings u/s 30-31 of L.A. Act should not have been sent to this Court by the LAC, when the compensation amount was already released to IP1. The counsel for IP2 states that this mistake on part of the Collector has caused an impact on his rights to seek recovery of his share of the compensation subject to the adjudication of his respective entitlement. Same sort of submission has also been made on behalf of the remaining IPs as well.

Time sought on behalf of IP2 to move an appropriate application setting forth his contentions as well as for seeking liberty to institute appropriate legal proceedings for redressal of his grievance.

To come up for further proceedings on **21.08.2026**.

(Sidharth Mathur)
District Judge-01(N)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/03.08.2026/ak