

Sudhir Nayyar

Vs.

Delhi Development Authority And Ors

06.03.2023

Present: Mr. Aashish Goyal, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Ms. Ravi Prabha, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 1.
Defendant no. 2 and 3 are already ex-parte.

Ld. Counsels for the parties submits that admission/denial need not be conducted.

At this stage, counsels for parties are asked whether there is possibility of settlement in terms of Order 10 Rule 1A. The counsels for the parties submit that there is no possibility for settlement and issues may be framed.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are framed:-

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of specific performance, as prayed for? OPP
2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of perpetual injunction, as prayed for? OPP
3. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of mandatory injunction against the defendant no. 3, as prayed for? OPP
4. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of mandatory injunction against defendant no. 1, as prayed for? OPP

Contd.....

5. Relief.

No other issues are pressed for by the parties. Nor does any other issue arise from the pleadings of the parties. The plaintiff is directed to file evidence by way of affidavit along with list of witnesses within 15 days from today with advance copy to the counsel for the defendant.

The case shall be taken for recording of plaintiff's evidence on **11.07.2023**.

Shivali Bansal
ADJ-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
06.03.2023