

07.12.2019

Present: Shri V. K. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Shri G. K. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for defendant.
D2 & 5 right already closed.

AD card of D3 received back served. D-3 is directed to file WS / reply within stipulated period starting today and supply advance copy to the opposite party. RC of D4 received back unserved with the comments incomplete address. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has requested for Dasti summons. Accordingly issue summons to D4 on PF:RC. Dasti be given in the sense that the plaintiff is at liberty to accompany the process server.

Otherwise, reply on behalf of the plaintiff to the applications u/o 7 rule 11 and O 8 rule 1 CPC has been filed today. Copy supplied. Arguments heard on application u/o 8 rule 1 CPC. During the course of arguments, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that the aforesaid application be allowed however subject to reasonable cost. There is a delay of 29 days. Accordingly, in view of the above submissions, the said delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 1000/-. W.S. be taken on record subject to payment of cost.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that he does not wish to file replication.

Put up for arguments on application u/o 7 rule 11 CPC as well as appearance of D-4 on 12.03.2020.

(Vineet Kumar)
Additional District Judge-01
SHD/KKD/Delhi/07.12.2019