

Bail Matter No. 631/2026
Lucky Vs. State
eFIR No. 80022819/2026
PS Adarsh Nagar
U/s 309(4)/317(2)/3(5) BNS

11.04.2026

This is an application under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of applicant/ accused namely Lucky.

Present: Sh. J.S. Malik, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the accused
/ applicant.
Complainant through VC.
IO ASI Nixon K.J. is present.

1. It was submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that the applicant has no concern with the alleged offence and false & fabricated FIR has been registered against him on the basis of suspicion. It has been further stated that no recovery has been effected from the accused / applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that there is no previous involvement of the accused / applicant. It was argued further that the applicant is ready to join the investigation and will fully co-operate with the investigation as and when required by the IO/SHO. That accused is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions. It is prayed that a lenient view be taken.

2. Ld. Substitute Additional PP for the State duly vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail application submitting

that the allegations levelled against the applicant/ accused are very grave and serious in nature. Prayer for dismissal of the application was made.

3. I have heard Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State as well as perused the report filed by the IO.

4. In the reply filed by the IO, it has been stated that on the night of 15/16.03.2026 near the Aprilia Showroom, complainant was intercepted by three individuals on a motorcycle i.e. accused / applicant, Kanhaiya and Akash. According to the complainant, Akash grabbed him from behind by the neck, Kanhaiya pinned his arms, and Lucky forcibly removed an I Phone (128 GB, White) from his right jeans pocket. It was further stated that before fleeing, accused / applicant threatened the complainant with dire consequences if he approached the police. It was further stated that on the basis of the above, custodial interrogation of the accused /applicant is necessary as accused /applicant is avoiding his arrest. IO also shown the CCTV footage in his mobile phone.

5. In view of the specific role of the applicant/ accused and further considering the submissions that the accused / applicant is not cooperating in the investigation as well as considering the above said observations, anticipatory bail application is hereby stands dismissed.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

6. It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case .

7. Copy of the order be given dasti to the ld. counsel for the applicant as well as be sent to concerned IO through all possible modes, as per rules, by the court staff.

(VANDANA)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
11.04.2026