

Bhim Singh Vs. UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

17/05/2023

Present: Counsel for petitioner.
Counsel for R-1/UOI.
Counsel for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant on behalf of DDA.

Heard. Perused.

WS on behalf of R1/UOI is already on record.

Counsel for R2/DDA has filed vakalatnama and WS.

Copy supplied.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, the right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are hereby framed:-

1. **Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount, and if so, at what rate? OPP.**
2. **Relief.**

No further issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 08.11.2023.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/17/05/2023