

KRISHAN LAL Vs. MADHU KUMAR AND ORS.

27/11/2025

Present : Sh. Yogesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. P. K. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Ld. Counsel for defendant presses his application dated 22/08/2025 for production of additional documents i.e. Relinquishment Deed dated 12/01/2009. Agreement to Sell dated 18/11/2017 and Bank Statement showing that Rs. 6 lacs were paid by Mr. Ranbir Singh. It is argued that when the case file was received from previous Counsel, the defendant came to know about these documents.

Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff states that application has been filed under wrong provision of law. His suit for recovery of Rs. 7 lacs is being delayed.

Heard. File perused.

Plaintiff has filed suit for recovery against defendant. Admittedly, defendants are yet to be examined. Ld. Counsel for defendant states that he will expedite the proceedings and the defendant shall prove these documents. Only one opportunity is sought.

In considered opinion of the Court the present application can be treated under Order 8 Rule 1 (A) CPC. These documents will help the Court to reach at just conclusion. The

Contd.....

-/2/-

defendants are granted one opportunity to prove these documents.
The application is allowed, subject to cost of Rs. 3,000/- to be paid by way of Demand Draft to the plaintiff by the defendants well before the next date of hearing.

At request of Ld. Counsel for defendant, to come up for DE by way of affidavit on **19/02/2026** with advance copy to Counsel opposite atleast a week before the next date of hearing. Defendants shall take this as last opportunity.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-02/NORTH/ROHINI
DELHI/27/11/2025