

23.04.2025

Present : Sh. Satish Kumar, counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. P.K. Sharma, counsel for the defendant.

DW-4 Sh. Rupak Srivastava, Advocate is present in the court today and he has been examined. Despite opportunity, no cross-examination was conducted on behalf of plaintiff. Witness /DW4 is discharged.

Counsel for the defendant has filed amended evidence affidavit of DW5 Smt. Madhu Kumar and DW6 Sh. Lalit Kumar. Copies have been supplied to counsel opposite. Counsel for the plaintiff is ready to cross-examine the witnesses.

Defendant Smt. Madhu Kumar has also given separate statement that she has received the documents and pleadings from DW-4 Sh. Rupak Srivastava, Advocate (as mentioned in statement of DW4).

Counsel for the defendant states that original documents have not been brought by the witnesses. Request for adjournment is strongly opposed by counsel opposite, who states that this case i.e. suit for recovery is being delayed.

At this stage, counsel for the defendant points out that only DW5 and DW6 remain and last opportunity be granted to the defendants.

At request of the counsel for the defendant, to come up for examination in chief and cross-examination of DW-5 Smt. Madhu Kumar and DW-6 Sh. Lalit Kumar on **14.08.2025**. Date has been given as the convenience of the parties.

Counsel for the plaintiff states that he wants to cross-examine both the witnesses on the same day so that truth is discerned. Counsel for the defendant has no objection to the same.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-02/NORTH/ROHINI
DELHI/23.04.2025