

Bail Matter No. 621/2026
Sumit Vs. State
FIR No. 316/2025
PS Crime Branch North
U/s. 303(2)/ 318(4)/336(3)/338/340(2)/61 BNS

01.04.2026

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of the accused / applicant namely Sumit.

Present: Dr. Sarita Rani, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Devender Kumar, Sh. Aslam Shah, Sh. Sonal Kumar, Sh. Meahul Gupta, Sh. Shashikant and Ms. Tamanna, Ld. Counsels for the accused / applicant.
IO is present.

Reply to the above said application filed.

1. The above said application has been moved on behalf of the applicant / accused seeking interim bail on the medical ground of the father of the accused / applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant that the father of the accused / applicant has to undergo surgery for the stone of gall bladder and there is no one in the family of the accused / applicant to look after him as there is one sister of the accused / applicant in his family, who is presently preparing for the NEET examination scheduled to be held on 03.05.2026 and his old aged mother. It is further submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant that the accused / applicant is the only person who can take care of his ill father and he needs proper care. It is further submitted that applicant/accused will not flout any terms and

conditions, if so imposed and he never misuse the liberty granted to him and will surrender well in time. It is prayed that a lenient view be taken.

2. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State vehemently opposed the interim bail application and argued that allegations against the applicant are serious in nature and if applicant is released on interim bail, he may threaten the witnesses and he may also jump the bail and prayer is made for dismissal of the bail applications.

3. I have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and Ld. Addl. PP for the State as well as perused the report filed by the IO.

4. IO has opposed the above said application submitting that the applicant / accused has failed to justify why he has changed the hospital and not undergone surgery, which had already been fixed for 18.03.2026.

5. In view of the above facts and circumstances as well as medical verification report qua the father of the accused / applicant, received from the IO, without commenting upon the merits of the case and on humanitarian ground, **the applicant / accused is accordingly granted interim bail for a period of 10 days from the date of his release from the jail subject to furnishing personal bond and surety bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each with one local surety of the like amount to the to the satisfaction of the Court/Duty MM/Area MM but subject to the following conditions:**

- (a) The applicant/accused shall not try to contact or influence the witnesses in any manner, directly or

indirectly.

(b) The accused shall not indulge into similar offence in the event of release on bail.

(c) The accused shall not tamper with the evidence.

(d) The accused shall provide his mobile number to the concerned SHO, on which he may be contacted, if required as per law and shall ensure that the said number be kept active and switched on all the time.

(e) The accused shall surrender himself before the concerned Jail Authorities after expiry the period of interim bail.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

6. *It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.*

7. Copy of the order be given *dasti* to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused as well as be sent to concerned IO, concerned Jail Superintendent, Ld. Secretary, DLSA (North), through all possible modes, as per rules, by the court staff.

(VANDANA)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
01.04.2026