

SC 251/2026
STATE Vs. AMAN
FIR No. 953/2025
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s. 310(2)/311/317(3)/61(2)/3(5) BNS

13.07.2026

This application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Prince** is placed before me in terms of order No. 18230-18256 Judl /Bail /North /RC /2026, dated 30.04.2026 of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi.

Present: Sh. J. S. Malik, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Hemant Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for
applicant/accused.
IO/SI Vivek in person.

Reply to the above said application has been filed by IO. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused is in JC since 05.12.2025 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that no recovery of the alleged robbed cash, weapon or ny other incriminating article has been effected from the possession or at the instance of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that there is no CCTV Footage connecting the applicant/accused with the alleged occurrence and there are also no independent public witnesses supporting the prosecution case. It is further submitted that investigation has been completed and chargesheet has been filed. It is further submitted that no application of

Continued to page no. 2

SC 251/2026
STATE Vs. AMAN
FIR No. 953/2025
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s. 310(2)/311/317(3)/61(2)/3(5) BNS

applicant/accused is pending either before any other Court including Superior Courts. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 13.07.2026 charges for the offences punishable U/s. 61(2)/309(6)/310(2)/311/317/3(5) BNS have been framed against applicant/accused **Prince** and his co-accused persons. The allegations against applicant/accused **Prince** are that he alongwith his co-accused persons, in furtherance of their common intention, committed robbery of cash amounting to Rs. 36,00,000/- and while committing the aforementioned robbery accused persons caused multiple stab injuries to the victim. As per the reply of IO, scooter bearing no. DL 4SDN 2900 belonging to complainant Sh. Roshan Lal and cash amounting to Rs.6 Lakhs out of the robbed

Continued to page no. 3

SC 251/2026
STATE Vs. AMAN
FIR No. 953/2025
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s. 310(2)/311/317(3)/61(2)/3(5) BNS

money amounting to Rs. 36 lakhs were recovered from the possession of applicant / accused **Prince**. Present case is at the stage of prosecution evidence. Further, eye-witnesses are yet to be examined. Releasing applicant/accused **Prince** may cause serious threats to the eye witnesses.

Keeping in view of the above discussion and seriousness of allegations leveled against applicant/accused **Prince** as well as keeping in view of seriousness and gravity of the offence alleged against him, this court is not inclined to grant bail to applicant/accused **Prince**. Bail application is accordingly, dismissed.

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. **Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties.** The present bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
13.07.2026