

SC 43/2022

STATE Vs. SURENDER VERMA @ MUNNA
FIR No.163 /2021
PS Adarsh Nagar
U/s. 394/397/392/34 IPC & U/s. 27 Arms Act.

11.08.2023

File taken up today on an application U/s. 439 Cr. P. C for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Jitender Kumar Maurya**.

Present: Sh. Pankaj Kumar Ranga, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. R. D. Singh, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused.
IO/Inspector Dinesh Kumar in person.

Ld. counsel for applicant/accused submitted that applicant/accused is in JC since 23.03.2022 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that investigation of the present case has already been completed and charge has already been framed against the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that applicant/accused was granted interim bail during that period he never misused the liberty and he had timely surrendered before the concerned Jail Superintendent. It is further submitted that co-accused has already been granted bail on the ground of parity, above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is further submitted that applicant/accused shot the complainant at the time of commission of crime and he is involved in many other cases. It is further submitted that if bail is granted to applicant/accused there are chances he may jump the bail and prayer is made out to dismiss the above said application.

Heard.

SC 43/2022

STATE Vs. SURENDER VERMA @ MUNNA
FIR No.163 /2021
PS Adarsh Nagar
U/s. 394/397/392/34 IPC & U/s. 27 Arms Act.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that charges for the offences punishable U/s. 392/394/34 IPC, U/s. 397 IPC, U/s. 411 IPC and U/s. 27 Arms Act have been framed against applicants/accused vide order dated 14.07.2023 and the matter is at the stage of PE. Perusal of the record further shows that in the present case material witness i.e. complainant is yet to be examined. Further, as per the reply of IO wherein it is mentioned that Rs. 1,90,500/- out of the robbed money were found to be deposited in HDFC bank account of applicant/accused. Further, applicant/accused actively involved in the present case and as per the IO, there is a CCTV footage wherein applicant/accused is clearly visible using deadly weapon i.e. country made pistol at the time of committing the said robbery and also considering the seriousness of the offences alleged against applicant/accused person, the present bail application stands dismissed. **Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties.** The present bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
11.08.2023