

CS DJ 210/19
POORVI SAXENA Vs. SMT.REENA VERMA
21.12.2022

Present: Ms. Tulna Rampal, Proxy Counsel for plaintiff.
Ms. Jai Shree Gupta, Ld. counsel for D-1 along with
D-1 in person.

Heard. Perused.

Previous cost of Rs.3,000/- paid.

Fresh Vakalatanama filed on behalf of D-1. Same is
taken on record.

Adjournment sought by counsel for defendant as she
is newly engaged in the present case and case file is with the
previous counsel. Allowed.

On enquiry from D-1, it reveals that D-2 has already
expired and no steps have been taken to bring Lrs of D-2 on
record. It is stated by counsel for the plaintiff that plaintiff has no
information of the death of D-2 and no details of Lrs of D-2 have
been supplied to the plaintiff till date.

Let details of Lrs of D-2 be supplied to the plaintiff.

Plaintiff is directed to take appropriate steps to bring
Lrs of D-2 on record.

Defendant is directed to file reply to the application
u/o XXXVIII Rule 1 and 2 CPC on or before NDOH.

Put up on 19.04.2023.

(Surender Mohit Singh)
ADJ-04, North, Rohini Courts,
Delhi/21.12.2022