

MACP No. 257/23
Suraj Vs. Rahul & Ors.

18.11.2025

Present: Ms. Nazneen, proxy counsel for petitioner.
None for driver and possessory owner (*defence struck off in respect of possessory owner vide order dated 11.02.2025*).
Sh. Dinesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for registered owner.
Sh. Sujit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for insurance co.

Ld. Counsel for registered owner and insurance company submit that said respondents do not wish to examine any witness in their respective evidence. In view of the same, RE of owner and insurance company stands closed.

No RW is present on behalf of driver and possessory owner. In view of the same, their RE also stands closed.

Petitioner is not present today for recording of his statement in terms of clause 29 of MCTAP. He is directed to appear in person on the next date.

Put up on **28.01.2026** for recording of statement of petitioner in terms of clause 29 of MCTAP as well as final arguments. Petitioner is directed to appear alongwith copies of relevant document for the purpose of recording of his statement in terms of Clause 29 MCTAP.

(Richa Manchanda)
Judge MACT-2 (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/18.11.2025