

MACT 256/23 (**leading case**)  
NEEMA AND ORS (LRS OF SURDARSHAN) Vs. RAHUL  
AND  
MACT 257/23  
SURAJ Vs. RAHUL

16.09.2025

Present: Shri Amitabh Jha, Ld. Counsel for petitioner Neema alongwith petitioner.  
Ms. Deepika Yadav, Ld. Counsel for petitioner Suraj alongwith petitioner.  
None for driver.  
None for possessory owner (**defence struck off vide order dated 11.02.2025**).  
Shri Dinesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for registered owner.  
Shri Sujit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for insurance company.

Matter is at the stage of PE.

PW-2 Virender Singh and PW-3 Suraj examined, cross examined and discharged.

It is submitted by both Ld. Counsel for petitioners that no further evidence is to be led by the petitioners. Therefore, PE is directed to be closed on behalf of both the petitioner.

Put up for RE as well as final arguments/recording of statement of petitioners under Clause 29 MCTAP on **18.11.2025**. List of witnesses, if any be filed by both the parties within 2 weeks. Evidence by way of affidavit on behalf of respondents be filed within 4 weeks after supplying the advance copy to the opposite party through counsel against receipt.

(Richa Manchanda)  
Judge MACT-2 (North)  
Rohini Courts, Delhi/16.09.2025