

CS DJ 246/23
PRABHAWATI DEVI Vs. GEETANJALI

14.12.2023

Present: Sh. Kameshwr Mishra, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Kshitij Kumr Jha, counsel for both defendants.

Heard. Perused.

WS has been filed on behalf of both defendants.

Copy supplied.

Counsel for plaintiff has pointed out that the written statement has been filed beyond stipulated time and that too without any application seeking condonation of delay in filing the same.

Perusal of record shows that proxy counsel for defendant no-1 had appeared in court on 22.07.2023 and had sought some time to file WS. Defendants were directed to file WS within stipulated time. Admittedly, WS has been filed after stipulated time and that too without any application for condonation of delay in filing the same.

Hence, it is ordered that the **WS filed on behalf of defendant be not taken on record.**

Now to come up on 09.05.2024 for P.E.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/14.12.2023