

Bail Matter No. 515/2026
STATE Vs. CHANDAN AND ORS
FIR No. 838/2025
PS (Adarsh Nagar)

20.03.2026

Present: Dr. Sarita Rani, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Complainant and injured in person with Ld. Counsel
Sh. Hans Sharma.

Ms. Komal and Ms. Vidhi Gupta, Ld. Counsel for
accused/applicant.

Reply filed. Copy supplied.

1. It was argued by It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has nothing to do the same. It is further argued that nothing was recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. It is further argued that applicant/accused is running in JC since 20.11.2025 and he is having no criminal antecedents. It is further argued by the Ld. Counsel that there is not CCTV footage of the alleged incident and on the MLC of the victim/complainant, the injury has been opined to be simple. He further argued that there is no public witness in this case. It was also argued that chargesheet has already been filed and investigation have been completed. Hence, prayer has been made to grant bail to accused/applicant.

2. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State duly assisted by the counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the bail application and argued that allegations against the

applicant are serious in nature. It is further argued that victim received serious injuries which required 17 stitches. It is further argued that accused persons are still extended threats to the complainant and his family and if applicant is released on bail, he may threaten the witnesses and he may also jump the bail and prayer is made for dismissal of the bail applications.

3. I have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and Ld. Addl. PP for the State as well as perused the report filed by the IO.

4. The present FIR had been registered U/s 333/109(1)/238(a)/3(5) BNS, the allegations against the accused of the applicant is that the accused/applicant caused injury on the head of the complainant with the knife and then the son of complainant Deep Narayan was also injured by the said knife and thereafter accused/applicant left the spot with his brother. The allegations against the accused are serious and grave.

5. Further, the matter is at initial stage where charges yet to be framed and all the material / public witnesses alongwith other witnesses are yet to be examined. There is every possibility if release he may threaten the said witnesses or jump the bail.

6. Seeing the totality of the facts and circumstances and owing to the gravity of the offence and in view of the abovesaid observations, no ground for bail is made out at this

stage.

7. Bail application is **dismissed** and disposed off accordingly.

8. *It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.*

9. Copy of the order be given *dasti* to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused as well as be sent to concerned IO, concerned Jail Superintendent, Ld. Secretary, DLSA (North), through all possible modes, as per rules.

(VANDANA)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
20.03.2026