

RAJIV KUMAR

Vs.

SUNIL KUMAR

21.12.2024

Present: Mr. Rishabh Kapoor, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

None for defendant.

ORDER

1. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff presses his application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC. It is stated by him by way of the present application that he has only sought to incorporate the verification clause, which was inadvertently missed at the time of filing of the plaint.

2. Heard. Record perused.

3. On perusal of record, it is found that in the main plaint, the verification clause was not incorporated by the plaintiff. However, the plaint was properly supported by affidavit attested on 23.03.2023. In the presence of a duly attested affidavit, the non-incorporation of the verification clause is a cureable irregularity, accordingly, the application of the plaintiff under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC is allowed and the amended plaint is taken on record.

4. Be put up for arguments on the application of the defendant under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC on **07.04.2025**.

Shivali Bansal
DJ-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
21.12.2024