

30.07.24

Present: Sh Shubham Ahlawat, Id counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh Sudesh Goyal, Id counsel for the defendant no.1.
Sh Rishabh Sharma, Id proxy counsel for defendant
no.2.

It is seen that an application had been filed on behalf of defendant no.1 u/s 152 CPC for correction of clerical errors in order dated 04.04.24.

Heard on the application.

For the reasons assigned in the application, the application u/s 152 filed on behalf of defendant no.1 stands allowed and the necessary corrections are made to the effect that in para no.19 of the order dated 04.04.2024, the initial line which starts as “*the plaintiff herein has stated that....*” shall be read as “*the defendant no.1 herein has stated that....*”. Similarly, the correction is also made in para no.20 in the line which starts as “*On the other hand, the plaintiff has been yelling....*” shall be read as “*On the other hand, defendant no.1 has been yelling....*”.

The pleadings are complete. On the basis of the pleadings, following issues are framed for trial :

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree of specific performance, as prayed for ? OPP
2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree of permanent injunction, as prayed for ? OPP
3. Whether the suit is not properly valued for the purpose of court fees and jurisdiction? OPD-1

4. Whether the present suit of the plaintiff is barred u/s 33 and 42 of Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954? OPD-1
5. Whether the present suit is collusive one between plaintiff and defendant no.2? OPD-1
6. Whether the suit is barred by limitation? OPD-1
7. Relief.

No other issue arises or is pressed for by the parties.

Let list of witnesses alongwith affidavits of the witnesses be filed by both the parties within 15 days from today with advance copy to the opposite party.

Put up for PE on **19.11.24.**

(Kishor Kumar)
DJ-04, North, Rohini Courts,
Delhi/30.07.24.