

12 MACT 170/22

SAYABA KHATOON AND ANR Vs. GHAFAR AND ANR

15.12.2025

Present: Shri M.R. Mansoori, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
None for driver-cum-owner.
Shri Lalit Dhingra, Ld. Counsel for insurance company.

Application u/s 170 of M. V. Act filed on behalf of insurance company.

Heard on the aforesaid application. Record perused.

The driver-cum-owner has failed to contest the claim petition filed by the petitioner. The insurer may be liable to pay the claim in case the relief is granted. Therefore, considering the provision of Section 170 of M. V. Act, the insurer is granted right to contest the claim on all or any other ground available to the driver-cum-owner.

In view of the above, application is allowed.

PW3 Mohd. Gulfam examined and discharged.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner submits that no further evidence is to be lead by the petitioner. Accordingly, PE closed.

Put up for RE on **02.03.2026**.

(Richa Manchanda)
Judge MACT-2 (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/15.12.2025