

DLNT010028342024



IN THE COURT OF SH. SIDHARTH MATHUR
DISTRICT JUDGE (NORTH-01): ROHINI COURTS: DELHI
LAC No. 32/2024

In the matter of :-

Dalip Kumar
S/o Sh. Mishri Lal,
R/o 1137/14/9, Gali Telan,
Tilak Bazar, Delhi.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. **Union of India through**
Land Acquisition Collector, North,
Office at DM Office Complex,
Alipur, Delhi – 110036.

2. **Delhi Development Authority,**
(D.D.A)
Through its Vice Chairman,
Vikas Sadan, INA, New Delhi.

.... Respondents

Award No.	12/2005-06
Village	Barwala
Date of possession	06.10.2005
Notification U/s 4 LA Act	F.11(19)/2001/L&B/LA/ 20112 dt. 21.03.2003

acquired land as Rs.15,70,000/- per acre for land falling in Category 'A', Rs.14,00,000/- per acre for land falling in 'B' Category and Rs.12,30,000/- per acre for land falling in 'C' Category.

3. The petitioner being dissatisfied with the market value determined by the LAC, filed the present petition u/s 18 of the LA Act, seeking reference to this court. The Land Acquisition Collector forwarded the same to this court, for adjudication.

4. The brief facts of the petitioner's case are that petitioner was the owner / bhumidhar in physical possession of land bearing khasra numbers as mentioned in the statement u/s 19 of the LA Act that was annexed with the present reference and admitted by the petitioner during the stage of admission/denial of documents, situated within the Revenue Estate of Village Barwala, Delhi (the said land). The said land was acquired vide notification dated **21-03-2003**. The petitioner has preferred the present reference under section 18 of the Act essentially on the grievance that the market value of the acquired land has been erroneously determined at a low rate by the LAC so as to be Rs. 15.70 lacs per acre.

5. The petitioner has challenged the said award *inter-alia* on the ground of inadequacy of compensation and incorrect

assessment of market value of land inter-alia due to non-consideration of relevant factors like potentiality and fertility of the suit land, the surrounding colonies and developed areas, the market value of the adjoining areas/villages, the sale deeds of other lands of the contemporary period, nearness to the National Highway and industrial areas, the amenities available in the suit land etc.

6. The petitioner has prayed compensation at enhanced rate besides interest thereon and solatium in addition to the compensation.
7. The respondent no.1/Union of India (UOI)/Land Acquisition Collector contested the reference petition by filing its Written Statement. No WS filed on behalf of R-2/DDA despite opportunity given. Accordingly, its right to file WS was closed vide order dt. 10.02.2026.
8. The petition has been contested mainly on the ground that the LAC awarded adequate compensation to the petitioner after taking into consideration all the relevant factors and therefore, LAC has correctly assessed the market value of the land after taking into account the market rates prevailing at the time of notification under Section 4 of LA Act.
9. During admission-denial of documents, the counsel for

petitioner admitted the statement given u/s 19 of the Act. The following issues were framed :-

i) Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement in compensation, if so, to what amount? OPP.

ii) Relief.

10. In evidence, the counsel for petitioner on behalf of petitioner has also relied upon the judgment in a case LAC No.261/2016 Chander Bhan (Dced.) through LR's vs. Union of India & Ors., D.O.D 25.04.2018 and also the evidence led in said case.

11. The respondent no.1/Union of India (UOI), in its evidence, tendered the award as Ex. R1. However, no one appeared on behalf of R-2/DDA despite repeated calls. Accordingly, its right to lead RE is hereby closed vide order dt. 30.03.2026.

12. I have heard the Ld. Counsels for the parties and have also carefully considered the record. My issue-wise findings are given as under:-

FINDINGS ON ISSUE NO. 1 :-

13. Petitioner has contended that valuation of land determined by LAC is not reasonable as LAC has not adopted the correct method of valuation. However, he has not led any

evidence to show as to how the LAC was wrong in fixing market value of land. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has only relied upon the judgment titled as *Chander Bhan Vs. UOI, LA No. 261/16 decided by Sh. Neeraj Gaur, ADJ-01, Learned Predecessor of this court on 25-04-18*, case and conceded that award be passed in terms of the said judgment and the same enhancement which was granted in the said judgment be also granted to petitioner.

14. In the aforesaid case, Ld. Predecessor had adjudicated the market value of land of village Barwala acquired through award No.12/2005-06 as Rs.19,80,750/- per acre. There is no evidence on record to gather that the land of the petitioner herein was in any way inferior to the land involved in **Chander Bhan's** case. The petitioner is accordingly entitled to the same compensation for his land as was awarded in Chander Bhan's case. I accordingly adjudicate the market value of land of the petitioner as Rs.19,80,750/- per acre. An enhancement of Rs.4,10,750/- per acre is accorded.

15. Besides above, petitioner shall be entitled to other statutory benefits under the LA Act viz. 12% **additional amount** [as per section 23 (1A)] and 30% **solatium** [u/s 23 (2)] and will be entitled to **interest** under Section 28 of L.A Act on the fair

market value @ 9% per annum for the first year and @ 15% for subsequent year till the making of payment of enhanced compensation by LAC as per provision of Section 28 of the Act.

Issue no. 1 is decided accordingly.

16. Findings on Issue No.2 – RELIEF

In view of the findings on Issue no.1, the petitioner/s are granted the following reliefs: -

1. **fair market value** @ Rs.19,80,750/- per acre for category A land, for the acquired land as per statement u/s 19 of the LA Act thereby giving an enhancement of Rs.4,10,750/- per acre;
2. **additional amount** @ 12% per annum on the fair market value u/s 23 (1A) of the LA Act, from the date of notification u/s 4 of the LA Act till the date of award or dispossession, whichever is earlier ;
3. **solatium** u/s 23(2) of LA Act @ 30% on the enhanced amount of market value;
4. **interest** under Section 28 of L.A Act @ 9% per annum for the first year from the date of dispossession and at the rate of 15% per annum on the difference between the enhanced compensation

awarded by this court and the compensation awarded by the LAC for the subsequent period till its payment ;

17. The share(s) of the petitioner(s) would be determinable as per the statement u/s 19 of the L.A. Act proved on record and the said statement shall constitute a part of this award.
18. Reference petition stands answered. Parties to bear their own costs. A copy of this award be sent to the LAC for necessary information, action and expeditious compliance for remittance of the amount. File be consigned to record room.

**Announced in the
Open Court on 30.03.2026**

**(SIDHARTH MATHUR)
DJ-01/North District,
Rohini Courts/Delhi**

Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS