

**Bail Matters No. 467/2026
State Vs. Babu Aslam
FIR No. 572/2025
PS Model Town
U/s 25 Arms Act**

19.03.2026

Video Conferencing facility is active throughout the proceedings during the day.

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail placed before me being Roster Judge, North District moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Babu Aslam**.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Substitute PP for the State.
Sh. Mohd. Iliyas, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO/ASI Manoj Kumar in person.

TCR received.

Reply to the aforesaid bail application has already been filed.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED/APPLICANT

1. Ld. Counsel for the accused has submitted that the applicant/accused is in JC since 20.11.2025 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submitted that first bail application of the applicant/accused was dismissed by the court of Ld. JMFC concerned vide order dated 25.02.2026. He submitted that some police officials without uniform visited the house of the applicant/accused at about 3:32 am and caught hold the applicant/accused without any reason in the presence of his family members and there is no CDR against him according to the CCTV footage. He further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession or at the instance

of the applicant/accused and if any recovery has been shown by the concerned police officials, the same is planted one to implicate the applicant/accused in a false case. He submitted that the applicant/accused has is having no previous conviction in any case. He also submitted that applicant/accused has to support his family as he is the only bread earner in his family. He further submitted that the investigation qua the present applicant/accused has been completed, chargesheet has already been filed, trial will take long time to conclude and that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the applicant/accused further in custody. He further submitted that the applicant/accused is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions imposed by this Court and he shall not misuse the liberty of bail, if granted. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused also submitted that there is no chance of the applicant/accused of absconding or tampering with the evidence.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused prayed that in view of the aforesaid submissions, the applicant/accused be admitted to bail.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE

2. Ld. Addl. PP for the State has strongly opposed the bail application stating that the allegations against the applicant/accused are grave and serious in nature being punishable u/s 25 Arms Act. He further submitted that the applicant/accused has also previous involvement in one other criminal cases and that he may commit the same offence and he may jump the bail, if granted. He further argued that the present application be dismissed as allegations are grave and serious in nature

and chances of influencing the witnesses or tampering with the evidence by the applicant/accused cannot be ruled out.

FINDINGS / CONCLUSION

3. Record shows that the applicant/accused is a young boy aged around 22 years who is in JC since 22.11.2025. Chargesheet qua the applicant/accused has already been filed indicating that investigation is complete and the applicant/accused is not required for any further custodial interrogation/investigation. Recovery has already been effected. Co-accused persons having similar role are already on bail. Needless to state that commencement and conclusion of trial may take substantial time.

4. Considering the aforesaid observations and the present facts and circumstances, this court is of the view that no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the applicant/accused behind bars. Accordingly, the present application is allowed and the applicant/accused is admitted to bail, on furnishing personal bond/surety bond in the sum in the sum of **Rs.40,000/-** with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. JMFC/Link JMFC/Duty JMFC subject to the following conditions:-

- (A) He shall appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing scrupulously;
- (B) He shall not commit similar offence in future;
- (C) He shall provide his mobile number to the IO and keep it operational for all the time;
- (D) He shall not tamper with the evidence or influence/threat any witness.

- (E) The applicant/accused shall intimate the Court in advance in case of change of his residential address.
- (F) He shall not leave India without prior permission of the concerned Court.
- (G) He shall join the further investigation, if any, as and when directed by the IO/SHO concerned.

Breach of any of the above said conditions shall entail cancellation of bail and IO may file appropriate application observing any breach by the applicant/accused.

TCR be sent back to the Court concerned.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to expression of opinion on the merits of the case. Copy of this order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(Viplav Dabass)
ASJ: Special FTC (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi/19.03.2026