

30 CS DJ 149/25 MOHINDER PAL BHARDWAJ Vs. SANJEEV KUMAR
20.07.2026

Present : Mr. Mani Rajan, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff alongwith plaintiff in person.
Mr. Rajat Mathur, Ld. Counsel for defendants

Ld. Counsel for defendant opposed the replication filed on behalf of plaintiff and prayed for rejecting the same stating that the copy supplied to the defendant is not signed. This is not a ground to reject the replication when the duly signed replication with affidavit has been placed on record.

Arguments on application under Order 8 Rule 1 CPC are heard. Delay of 28 days is sought to be condoned on the ground of occasional hospitalization of mother of Ld. Counsel due to serious ailment. Same is opposed and attention is drawn towards order dated 26.07.2025 whereby this court observed that defendant no. 1 deserves imposition of cost for moving unnecessary application and abusing the process of law.

Heard. Record is consistent with the submission made by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. There is no document to substantiate the ground stated in the application. Further, irrespective of the ground, the defendant has already shown a lackadaisical approach to prolong the proceedings. Thus, **only to allow the defendant to present his defence completely, application is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 2000/- to be paid by defendant no. 1 to plaintiff. Subject to payment of cost, WS shall be taken on record.**

Cost is paid today itself.

Put up for payment of cost for 05.10.2026.

(Aanchal)
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
20.07.2026 (jy)