

SC 163/2026
STATE Vs. SUSHIL @ BOXER
FIR NO. 146/2024
PS Narela Ind. Area

13.04.2026

Present: Sh. Rajesh Sirohi, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.

Both accused in person.

Sh. Anil Malik, Id. Counsel for accused Akash.

It is submitted by accused Sushil @ Boxer that he is not able to engage a private counsel and he may be provided legal assistance at state expenses.

In view of the request made by accused, Sh. Anil Malik, Id. Counsel for accused Akash is appointed as Amicus Curaie for accused Sushil @ Kahtri.

It is submitted by Id.counsel that accused Akash is on anticipatory bail and since, charge-sheet has already been filed without arrest of accused Akash, hence, he may be admitted to regular bail, hence, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending him behind the bars now.

Heard.

In view of the submissions made, accused Akash is admitted to regular bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in the like amount, who is permanent resident of Delhi/NCR, to the satisfaction of concerned Ld. MM/Link MM/Duty MM but subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The applicant/accused shall attend the court proceedings regularly.
- (b) That in case of change of his residential

addresses, he shall intimate the Court about the same.

- (c) The accused shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
- (d) The accused shall not indulge into similar offence in the event of release on bail.
- (e) The applicant/accused shall not try to contact or influence the witnesses, in any manner, directly or indirectly.

At this stage, an application seeking cancellation of NBWs moved on behalf of accused Sushil @ Boxer.

Heard. Record perused.

In view of the submissions made and reasons stated in the application, NBWs issued against accused Sushil @ Boxer stands cancelled. Accused is warned to be careful in future and directed to appear regularly in the court proceedings.

Accordingly, application is disposed off.

Let Robkar be issued.

ORDER ON CHARGE

Arguments on the point of charge heard.

Ld. Counsel has argued that accused persons have been falsely implicated and they has nothing to do with the alleged offence.

On the other hand, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State has argued that on 25.01.2024, at about 10.15 p.m, at near Flat No. 304, Pocket D, Sector B4, Narela, Delhi, both accused persons along with two associates (yet to be arrested) in furtherance of their common intention attacked the victim/

injured Sunil Dhaka by hitting iron pipe on his head and caused injuries to him.

Record perused.

After having gone through the FIR, statements of the witnesses recorded u/s 161 Cr.P.C. and other material and documents available on the record, a prima facie case U/s 308/34 IPC is made out against both accused persons.

Accordingly, charge for the offence U/s 308 IPC has been framed against accused persons to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Be listed for PE on **05.05.2026**.

PWs mentioned at serial no. 1 & 2 alongwith IO and MHC (M) be summoned for the NDOH.

(Vandana)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
13.04.2026