

EX 135/25

VIJAY KUMAR GOEL Vs. M/S PARSVNATH
DEVELOPERS LTD.

04.04.2026

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Ld. Counsel for DH.

Mr. Abhinav Kaushik, Ld. Proxy Counsel for JD.

Record reveals that since last several dates adjournment is being sought on behalf of JD to file affidavit of asset. Today also neither the affidavit mentioning assets of JD company nor previous cost is paid. The only reason given for non filing of any such affidavit mentioning the details of assets is that as the JD company is under financial crisis, it is not able to make payment to the DH.

Considering the conduct of JD, court is left with no option but to issue warrant of arrest u/O 21 Rule 41(3) of CPC against JD No.2,3 & 4.

On filing of PF, let warrant of arrest u/O 21 Rule 41(3) of CPC be issued against JD No.2,3 & 4 for 02.05.2026.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
04.04.2026