

CS No. 484/19

23.12.2019

Present : Sh. Madan Thakur, Id. counsel for plaintiff
alongwith plaintiff.
Sh. B.D. Sharma, Id. counsel for D2.
Sh. Anuj Kumar, Id. proxy counsel for D3.
Sh. Yash Vir Thakur, Id. counsel for D4.
Sh. Digvijay Singh, Id. counsel for D5.
D6 in person.
None for other defendants.
Sh. Shyam Pal, Kanungo, SDM Office Vivek
Vihar.

Report from SDM Office Vivek Vihar filed. Copy
supplied. He is discharged.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that no WS has
been filed by D1 & D6.

D6 is present in the court, however, he has not
filed reply even today. A report as to the WS filed by D1 be
called by Ahlmad by 12 noon. Accordingly, the opportunity of
filing WS by D6 is hereby closed.

Arguments on the application under Order 8
Rule 1 CPC qua D4 heard. During the course of arguments,
ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that he has no objection in case
the delay is condoned, however, same shall be subject to
reasonable cost.

Accordingly, the application under Order 8 Rule
1 CPC is disposed off as allowed. Delay is condoned subject

to cost of Rs.1500/-. WS be taken on record subject to payment of cost.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that WS has been filed by other defendants belatedly as well. Out of the other defendants, only D3 (i) to (iii) has not filed an application for condonation of delay. However, ld. proxy counsel for D3 has requested that his arguments on condonation of delay be considered orally and the requirement of filing a formal application in this regard be dispensed with. D3 (i) to (iii) is exempted from filing the aforesaid application in the interest of justice.

Arguments on the condonation of delay also heard on behalf of D2, D3 (i) to (iii) & D5. During the course of arguments, ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that he has no objection in case the delay is condoned, however, same shall be subject to reasonable cost.

Accordingly, the applications under Order 8 Rule 1 CPC are disposed off as allowed. Delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs.1500/- each on the aforesaid defendants. WS be taken on record subject to payment of cost.

Replication filed by plaintiff in response to the WS filed on behalf of D3 (i) to (iii), D4 & D5. Copy supplied.

Plaintiff is directed to file replication in response to WS of D2, if any and supply the advance copy.

Put up at 12:30 pm.

(Vineet Kumar)
Additional District Judge-01
SHD/KKD/Delhi/23.12.2019

CS No. 484/19

23.12.2019

At 12:30 pm.

Present : As above

As per the report of Ahlmad, no WS has been filed on behalf of D1. Hence, opportunity of D1 to file WS stands closed.

Put up for payment of cost by the defendants/replication/DAD/Issues on 18.03.2020.

(Vineet Kumar)
Additional District Judge-01
SHD/KKD/Delhi/23.12.2019