

**In the Court of Sh. Ashwani Kumar Sarpal, Principal
District & Sessions Judge, East District
Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.**



(SC no. 82/2021)

CNR No. DLET010015882021

State

vs.

Deepak and Ors.

FIR no.- 354/2017

Under Sections- 308/34 IPC

Police Station- Pandav Nagar

Date of institution---22-2-2021

Date of decision-----3-8-2026

JUDGMENT:-

- 1) In this case under Section 308/34 IPC, accused Deepak, Ravi and Robin were arrested on 5-8-2017 and released on bail on 16-8-

2017, 17-8-2017 and 18-8-2017 respectively. Accused Fakira was formally arrested on 28-11-2017 and released on bail immediately as he got anticipatory bail from the court. The chargesheet in this case was filed on 7-3-2020 before concerned Magistrate and committed to Sessions Court on 22-2-2021. Charge under Section 308/34 IPC was framed against the accused persons on the basis of prosecution allegation to which they pleaded not guilty.

- 2) It is alleged by the prosecution that on 4-8-2017, on receipt of DD no. 24A, IO ASI Satya Pal Singh alongwith HC Ram Gopal reached at the spot, where they came to know that injured persons had already been taken to LBS hospital. Thereafter, they reached LBS hospital and obtained MLC of injured persons namely Rajesh, Jagdish, Ravi and Deepak. None of the injured persons were found in hospital. IO came to know that injured Jagdish and Rajesh have been referred to GTB hospital. Thereafter, IO along with HC Ram Gopal reached GTB hospital, where injured Jagdish and Rajesh were found under treatment. IO recorded statement of injured Jagdish, wherein he stated that on 4-8-2017, he was present at his home. At around 8.30 p.m., his grandson

Anuj came home crying from tuition and informed that Robin who lived in the same Gali and was standing outside the petrol pump in a street had slapped him. On hearing this, complainant Jagdish alongwith his son Rajesh reached near petrol pump to inquire as to why Anuj was beaten. At the spot, accused Deepak, Robin, his brother Ravi and Fakira, who lived in the same Gali, were already present and they started kicking and punching him and his son Rajesh. During this, accused Fakira instigated other co-accused persons to hit complainant and Rajesh with bricks and finish them off. Thereafter, all the four accused persons attacked them with intention of killing them. The bricks hit on heads of complainant Jagdish and Rajesh. Meanwhile, Adesh Kumar and Roshan, other two sons of complainant also came at the spot and saved them from the accused persons. Accused Fakira said to other co-accused that they should also hurt themselves or else complainant party will complaint against them and then all the accused persons ran away from the spot. His son Adesh brought them to the hospital where police also reached. IO on the basis of statement of complainant Jagdish, got FIR registered and after completion of investigation, ultimately filed the chargesheet in

the court.

- 3) In order to prove its case, prosecution examined total 10 witnesses. However, only accused Ravi opted to lead defence evidence and examined SI Kunwar Pal Singh as DW-1. I have heard Addl. PP for State and counsel for the accused persons and gone through the record. Firstly, brief version of the witnesses as deposed in their respective examination in chief is required to be mentioned.
- 4) PW-5 ASI Ram Roop while working as duty officer in police station on 5-8-2017 recorded formal FIR Ex. PW5/A after receipt of rukka from HC Ram Gopal (PW-6) at about 1 a.m. sent by PW-7 IO ASI Satya Pal and made endorsement on rukka Ex. PW5/B and issued certificate u/s 65B of Evidence Act Ex. PW5/C.
- 5) PW-8 Dr. Mala, SMO of LBS Hospital proved MLC of injured Rajesh Ex. PW8/A, of accused Ravi Ex. PW8/B, of complainant Jagdish Ex. PW8/C and of accused Deepak Ex. PW8/D. As per MLC of Rajesh (PW-9), he had suffered laceration over his

occipital region of head, which was bony deep and there was bleeding from his head. Accused Ravi was having multiple scratches abrasion over face and neck. Injured/complainant Jagdish (PW-1) was found having laceration of size 0.5 x 0.5 c.m. with active bleeding over occipital area alongwith swelling of 4 x 4 c.m. Accused Deepak was having lacerated wound with bleeding over occipital region having size of 1 x 0.5 c.m. with swelling of 2 x 3 c.m. As per this witness, all four injured were referred to SR (Surgery) for further management and treatment. However, PW-10 Dr. Pratima Prasad proved that nature of injuries suffered by injured Jagdish and Rajesh were ultimately found simple in nature.

- 6) PW-1 Jagdish is the complainant as well as injured who deposed that on 4-8-2017 at about 8.30 p.m., he was present at his house. At that time, his grandson Anuj (PW-2) aged about 15 years, came from tuition and was weeping and told him that accused Robin had slapped him outside petrol pump in a Gali who reside in his street. Then, he alongwith his son Rajesh (PW-9) went near petrol pump, where accused Robin was standing. When PW-1

complainant asked accused Robin the reason for slapping his grandson, then accused Robin, his brother Ravi and Deepak started bearing them with fists and legs. In the meantime, accused Fakira who also reside in their street also came there and instructed the accused persons to kill them by beating with bricks. Thereafter, accused persons gave brick blow on his head and on the head of his son Rajesh (PW-9). Thereafter, his other two sons namely Roshan (PW-3) and Adesh (PW-4) also reached at the spot and saved them and removed them to LBS hospital. PW-1 gave a statement to the police Ex. PW-1/A and correctly identified all the accused persons in the court.

- 7) PW-2 Anuj deposed that on 4-8-2017 at about 8.30 p.m., he was returning from his tuition and going to his house and when he reached at petrol pump, he noticed that accused Robin was standing in the Gali besides the petrol pump, who called him and when PW-2 reached to him, then accused Robin started abusing him and slapped him. He also explained that before going to the tuition, a quarrel took place between his grand father (i.e. complainant PW-1 Jagdish) and accused Robin and Ravi with

regard to some money transaction, due to which Robin stopped him when he was returning from tuition. PW-2 also stated that while going to his house, his grand father Jagdish (PW-1) and uncle (chacha) Rajesh (PW-9) met him in the way and PW-2 disclosed all the matter to them. Thereafter, PW-2 took them at the place of incident, where accused Robin along with other co-accused Fakira, Deepak and Ravi were present. He also stated that his grand father Jagdish and his uncle Rajesh asked Robin as to why they had beaten PW-2 but they did not reply anything and started beating Rajesh and Jagdish. They also lifted the bricks and gave its blows to Rajesh and Jagdish. PW-2 immediately rushed towards his house and told the matter to his father Roshan (PW-3) and his another uncle Adesh (PW-4) and brought them to the spot, where accused persons were giving beatings to his uncle Rajesh and grand father Jagdish. As per PW-2, his father Roshan and uncle Adesh saved Jagdish and Rajesh and blood was oozing out from their heads. Thereafter, his father Roshan and uncle Adesh took Rajesh and Jagdish to LBS Hospital and he returned home. After some time, his father Roshan came house and took PW-2 to PS where present FIR was registered.

- 8) PW-3 Roshan deposed that on 4-8-2017 at about 8.30 p.m., his son Anuj (PW-2) returned from the tuition and was weeping. When he asked about the same, he disclosed that he was slapped by accused Robin. He also disclosed that he already told this fact to his father Jagdish and his brother Rajesh and they had already reached at the spot, where they were beaten by accused Robin, Fakira, Deepak and Ravi. He reached at the spot. His brother Adesh (PW-4) had also reached at the spot and saw that quarrel was going on and all the accused persons were beating his father Jagdish and his brother Rajesh. Blood was oozing out from their heads. He along with Adesh saved both of them from all the accused persons and thereafter, took them to LBS Hospital. However, his brother Rajesh was referred to GTB Hospital for further management. On the same night, he reached to Police Station.
- 9) PW-4 Adesh Kumar deposed that on 4-8-2017, at about 8.30/8.45 p.m., he was present at his home. His brother namely Roshan (PW-3) shouted “Jhagra ho gaya”. He alongwith his brother Roshan rushed towards the place of incident, which was near

about 100-150 meters away from his house and it was at the corner of petrol pump. He noticed that his brother Rajesh had already fell down. His father namely Jagdish was also present there. All the accused persons namely Fakira, Deepak, Robin and Ravi were giving beatings to his brother Rajesh and his father. He further deposed that on seeing them, they fled away. He immediately, lifted his brother Rajesh and noticed that he was having head injury and blood was oozing out from his head. His father also sustained injuries on his person. He alongwith his brother Roshan took them to the LBS hospital. From where, his brother Rajesh and father Jagdish were referred to GTB hospital. IO ASI Satya Pal Singh (PW-7) met them in the hospital and recorded their statements. He reached at the spot alongwith the police officials. Though, he admitted that arrest memo of accused persons namely Deepak, Ravi and Robin already Ex. PW-3/A to Ex. PW-3/C are bearing his signature but he denied that they were arrested in his presence and he even denied the fact that he could not recollect these facts due to the lapse of time and confusion.

- 10) PW-9 Rajesh one of the injureds, who was allegedly given beatings by legs and fist blows by the accused persons as well as brick blow on his head turned hostile and virtually did not support prosecution case. He deposed that his nephew Anuj @ Moni (PW-2) came at home and informed his father Jagdish (PW-1) that he was beaten by someone, but this witness did not recall his name or even the exact date when incident took place. He also stated that his father Jagdish went to the place where Anuj @ Moni was beaten and he followed him within 2 minutes. He did not recall remaining facts allegedly due to lapse of time.
- 11) PW-7 IO ASI Satyapal and PW-6 HC Ram Gopal deposed that on 4-8-2017 at about 8.53 p.m., one DD no. 24A Ex. PW6/A in regard to quarrel was received and they reached at the place of incident i.e. near Petrol Pump, Jhuggi Shashi Garden, Shastri Mohalla, where no injured was found present. On inquiry, they came to know that injured persons were already left for LBS hospital. They reached at LBS Hospital, where IO PW-7 collected MLC of injured persons namely Rajesh, Jagdish, Ravi and Deepak, however, no injured was present there and they came to

know that injured Jagdish and Rajesh were referred to GTB hospital. Thereafter, both reached at GTB Hospital, where injured persons Jagdish and Rajesh were found under treatment. IO PW-7 recorded statement of complainant Jagdish Ex. PW1/A, prepared rukka Ex. PW7/A and handed over the same to PW-6 HC Ram Gopal, who went to the PS and got the FIR registered. Thereafter, IO alongwith Adesh (PW-4) reached at the spot. PW-6 HC Ram Gopal also reached there and handed over to IO copy of the FIR and original rukka. At the spot, IO prepared the site plan Ex. PW7/B at the instance of eye witness Adesh (PW-4). Meanwhile, another eye witness of the incident namely Roshan also reached there. Thereafter, IO alongwith HC Ram Gopal and eye witnesses searched for the accused persons. Accused Deepak, Robin and Ravi met them in their respective houses, who were identified by said eye witnesses Adesh and Roshan. Thereafter, these three accused persons were arrested vide arrest memos Ex. PW3/A to Ex. PW3/C respectively and their personal search was also conducted vide memos Ex. PW6/B to Ex. PW6/D respectively. The search was made for the fourth accused person namely Fakira but he was not found. IO recorded the statements of both of the

eye witnesses and accused persons were medically examined at LBS hospital and later on produced before the concerned court on the same day and they were remanded to judicial custody. IO PW-7 also stated that he collected the result of MLCs of complainant/injured Jagdish and Rajesh Ex. PW8/C and Ex. PW8/A respectively and of accused Deepak and Ravi Ex. PW8/D and Ex. PW8/B. Thereafter, he continued search for the accused Fakira who appeared in PS on 28-11-2017. After formally arresting him vide arrest memo Ex. PW7/C, he was released on bail being already granted anticipatory bail. After completion of investigation, IO prepared the chargesheet against the accused persons and filed the same before the Court.

- 12) Following points were raised by the both the sides during final arguments;

(a) Non seizure of weapon of offence/bricks, blood stained cloths and blood from spot:- As per PW-2 Anuj, police had not lifted stone/bricks etc. from the spot in his presence which were allegedly used by the accused persons for causing injuries to the complainant PW-1 Jagdish and PW-9 Rajesh.

PW-6 HC Ram Gopal stated that he does not remember whether IO lifted any material thing from the spot or not on the ground that he did not find any such material/object on the spot. However, according to PW-6, some blood spots were found on the surface at the spot. Even as per PW-3 Roshan, there was some blood on the clothes of his brother and the same were not seized by the police and perhaps police had also not seized the clothes of other relatives. Admittedly, IO PW-7 did not seize any bricks or blood stains earth from the spot or even blood stained cloths of injured during investigation, which point out towards his faulty and incomplete investigation. The stand of the prosecution as made in the chargesheet that IO could not find any bricks at the spot is unbelievable because brick pieces (which might be having some blood stains) are not costly items which could be stolen by anyone from the spot. Otherwise also, it is not the case of the prosecution that accused persons had left the spot with the weapon of offence i.e. bricks after committing offence or anyone else had tampered with the spot of incident later on before arrival of the police there.

(b) At whose instance FIR was registered:- As per prosecution story, FIR was registered on the statement of PW-1 complainant Jagdish, which was recorded by the IO in GTB hospital. However, PW-2 Anuj stated that his father PW-3 Roshan and uncle PW-4 Adesh took another uncle PW-9 Rajesh and grand father PW-1 Jagdish to LBS Hospital from spot and he returned back to his house. After some time, his father PW-3 Roshan came at house and took him to police station, where present FIR was registered. In his cross examination, PW-2 again confirmed twice that FIR was registered on his statement in the police station recorded on 4-8-2017. On the other hand, PW-3 Roshan stated in his cross examination that his statement was recorded by the police only once in the PS on the same day of incident and he had made a complaint to the police in the PS. Where is that complaint made by PW-3 has gone, prosecution could not tell.

(c) Who took injured to hospital:- According to complainant PW-1 Jagdish, his sons Rajesh (PW-9) and Adesh (PW-4) removed him to hospital in their own car. PW-2 Anuj however

stated that injured persons were taken to hospital by his father Roshan (PW-3) and uncle Rajesh (PW-9). However, according to PW-9 Rajesh he had become unconscious at the spot itself and regained consciousness in the hospital. Thus, his taking other injured PW-1 Jagdish to hospital does not arise at all.

(d) Non joining of public witnesses:- The incident had taken place just near the petrol pump. As per PW-1 complainant Jagdish when he reached at the petrol pump at about 8.30 p.m., there were attendants present at that petrol pump but none from the side of petrol pump tried to save them. According to PW-2 Anuj, some onlookers had gathered there at the time of incident. PW-3 Roshan also confirmed that public persons were also present at the spot. On the other hand, PW-4 Adesh stated that no public person was present at the spot, when he reached there. PW-6 HC Ram Gopal and IO PW-7 on receipt of call, within 10-15 minutes reached at the spot and met some local public persons who disclosed that injured were taken to the hospital but admittedly IO did not record particulars of those public persons nor any

written notice was served upon them. The plea taken by the IO that those public persons refused to disclose their names and addresses and he could not serve notice upon them due to paucity of time is mere an excuse to avoid joining of independent witnesses. IO PW-7 also admitted in his cross examination that he did not examine any independent witness except the witnesses who were only injured or their relatives on the ground that no one came forward to give statement regarding the incident is not acceptable in the present facts and circumstances especially, when PW-6 HC Ram Gopal took contradictory stand that they did not find any independent witness at the spot.

(e) Non explanation of injuries suffered by two of the accused persons:- It is a fact that two accused persons namely Deepak and Ravi had also suffered some injuries and they were medically examined and even IO collected their MLCs from hospital and obtained final opinion of doctors on the same. PW-9 Rajesh did not know whether any accused suffered any injury at the same time of incident and stated that

he had become unconscious at the spot. PW-3 Roshan admitted in his cross examination that one of the accused persons had also received injuries, whereas complainant PW-1 Jagdish in his cross examination totally denied that accused Deepak and Ravi had received any injuries in the scuffle. PW-4 Adesh showed ignorance, if accused persons also sustained any injury or not. PW-6 HC Ram Gopal in his cross examination stated that he does not remember completely but one or two out of those accused persons were also having minor injuries. PW-2 Anuj tried to explain how accused persons suffered injuries by stating that when his father Roshan and uncle Adesh saved his grand father Jagdish and uncle Rajesh, then accused Fakira asked other co-accused persons to inflict injury upon themselves in order to falsely implicate complainant and his children in a case. He also stated that he had disclosed this fact to the police but in his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C., this fact was found not mentioned and he was confronted with the same also. Prosecution did not ask any question from the doctors PW-8 and PW-10 who proved MLCs of injured and accused persons, whether injuries

suffered by accused persons could be self inflicted or not. In such situation, non explanation of injuries on persons of two accused point out that investigation was one sided and not done in fair manner.

(f) Unfair and biased investigation:- IO PW-7 stated that accused Deepak, Ravi and Robin had not made any cross-complaint to him. He also stated that no other FIR was lodged by him as no such complaint was received. However, defence witness from police department itself DW-1 SI Kunwar Pal Singh proved one complaint Ex. DW1/A received in DCP office on 12-8-2017 from Suman (mother of accused Ravi) against complainant Jagdish, Roshan and Anuj. In this complaint, certain allegations are levelled against complainant and his family members (who are witnesses in this case) for being assailants and cross version of the same incident was given. However, this complaint was concealed by the prosecution and IO who accepted the version of the complainant and his family members only had totally ignored the cross version of the accused person. Such type of biased,

one sided and unfair investigation causes serious dent in the prosecution case.

(g) Previous enmity/dispute between the parties:- PW-1

complainant Jagdish stated in his cross examination that he did not have any altercation with the accused persons though they are residing in the same street for the last 17-20 years. According to PW-4 Adesh, there was no previous enmity between his family and the accused persons. On the other hand, PW-2 Anuj stated that before his going to the tuition on the day of incident, a quarrel took place between his grand father (i.e. complainant PW-1 Jagdish) and accused Robin and Ravi with regard to some money transaction, due to which Robin had stopped him when he was returning from tuition. PW-2 also stated that he had informed the police regarding previous quarrel between his grand father and Robin but he was confronted with his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C. wherein this fact was found not recorded. PW-3 Roshan also stated that on the day of incident i.e. 4-8-2017 itself in the afternoon, a quarrel took place between accused Robin and Ravi with his

uncle (Mama) Ram Singh, who resides with them. The material witnesses of the prosecution themselves are not giving consistent version about previous disputes/quarrels and thus false implication of the accused persons in such situation cannot be ruled out.

(h) Whether accused Fakira was present at the spot or came

later:- As per FIR lodged by complainant PW-1 Jagdish, accused Fakira was already present at the spot along with other co-accused persons but as per his statement given in court, this accused reached subsequently within 2-4 minutes of their reaching at the spot.

(i) At which place, PW-2 Anuj @ Moni told the complainant and others about incident of slapping:-

PW-2 Anuj also stated that while going to his house after being given slaps by accused Robin, his grand father Jagdish and uncle Rajesh met him in the way and he disclosed all the matter to them. Thereafter, PW-2 took them at the place of incident where all four accused persons were present. PW-3 Roshan stated that his son met him outside of their house and

was weeping. However, as per complainant PW-1 Jagdish, Anuj came at their residence and told about the incident of slapping given to him by accused Robin and from their house, they left for the spot along with Anuj.

(j) Whether injured/complainant PW-1 and PW-9 Rajesh left for the spot simultaneously or at different time:- PW-9 injured Rajesh stated that his father Jagdish (PW-1) went to the place of incident where Anuj @ Moni was beaten and he followed him within 2 minutes. However, according to injured PW-1, he and his son Rajesh left the spot simultaneously.

(k) Contradictory stand of the different witnesses regarding PW-2 Anuj @ Moni:- PW-9 Rajesh did not know in which class his nephew Anuj was studying at the time of incident or what were the timings of his tuition. PW-1 complainant Jagdish stated that his grandson Anuj was studying in 4th or 5th class in the year 2017 who used to go for tuition at about 5.00 p.m. and come back home at about 8.30 p.m. On the other hand, in his cross examination, PW-2 Anuj himself stated that in the year

2017, he was studying in 8th class and used to go for tuition at Patparganj from 7.30 p.m. to 8.30 p.m. PW-3 Roshan being father of Anuj stated that his son was studying in 9th Class in the year 2017. He was taking tuition at Pandav Nagar and he used to leave for tuition at about 7.00 pm and came back at about 8.30 pm. Thus, father, grand father and even uncles of witness Anuj are not consistent regarding his age, class, place and timing of his tuition.

(1) CCTV footages near the spot not checked by IO:- PW-9

Rajesh stated that incident took place near petrol pump and there might be CCTV camera installed there. As per PW-3 Roshan, the incident had occurred adjacent to the petrol pump at the mouth of the Gali. Though, PW-2 Anuj stated that quarrel took place near the petrol pump but also admitted that there were CCTV camera installed at the petrol pump. However, he denied the suggestion that said camera were facing the Gali towards spot of incident. As per PW-4 Adesh, the place of incident is visible from the nearby petrol pump but showed ignorance if any CCTV camera was installed in

the petrol pump. PW-6 HC Ram Gopal stated that there were CCTV cameras installed at that petrol pump but also stated that the incident was of one Gali beside the petrol pump which was not covered. He however does not know, if IO had checked those CCTV footages or not. PW-7 IO stated that he did not find any CCTV camera installed at petrol pump covering the incident near the spot but in this regard, his chargesheet is silent whether he checked any CCTV of petrol pump or not.

(m) One of the injured PW-9 Rajesh did not support prosecution case:- PW-9 due to non supporting of the case was cross examined by the prosecution and was given suggestions regarding the manner of incident and thereafter, he started speaking whatever was suggested to him being correct. PW-9 could not admit or deny that at the instance of accused Fakira, his father Jagdish (PW-1) and he were given beatings by bricks by the accused persons. It means he was not sure who gave him and his father beatings and in which manner. PW-9 not only in his examination in chief but also in his cross

examination specifically stated that police officials did not examine him at the hospital or at PS. According to PW-9, he had become unconscious after receiving injuries and regained consciousness only in the LBS hospital but he took injured complainant to hospital along with his brother from the spot itself as per prosecution story. He also stated that he did not see the accused, who had hit him with the brick but stated that it was hit from his backside and thereafter he immediately lost consciousness and regained consciousness in the hospital. This version of the PW-9 is totally contradictory to his statement recorded by police u/s 161 Cr.P.C. Non supporting of the prosecution case by this witness also creates doubt about the testimony of other witnesses.

- (n) **Distance between the house of the complainant and spot:-** According to PW-9 Rajesh, the distance between the spot and his house is about 100 meters. According to PW-1 complainant Jagdish, the petrol pump is situated at a distance of about less than half km from his house. However, according to PW-3 Roshan, the distance between their house and place of

incident is about 200-250 meters.

(o) Preparation of site plan:- IO PW-7 is saying that he prepared the site plan Ex. PW7/B at the spot at the instance of eye witness Adesh (PW-4) but this site plan is not bearing signature of this witness. Chargesheet on the other hand is saying that it was prepared at the instance of complainant, whereas PW-2 Anuj stated that he or his family members were not taken to spot by the police.

(p) Non collection of complete medical record of one of the injured:- According to PW-2 Anuj, he met his uncle Rajesh in the Jeevan Anmol Hospital on next day on incident. However, no medical document from this hospital were collected by the IO.

(q) Arrest of the accused persons:- PW-3 Roshan stated that accused Deepak, Ravi and Robin were arrested on the same late night vide arrest memo Ex. PW3/A, Ex. PW3/B and Ex. PW3/C. These memo shows that accused persons were arrested from their respective houses. He also stated that accused persons were

already present in the PS before his lodging of the complaint. PW-4 Adesh Kumar not only in his examination in chief but also in cross examination done by prosecution specifically stated that accused persons were not arrested in his presence but the arrest memos are bearing his signatures to show that they were arrested in his presence also. If the statement of the witness PW-6 HC Ram Gopal is considered then it shows that accused persons were arrested at the spot and their arrest memos were prepared at the spot itself.

(r) At which place statements of witnesses recorded by the

IO:- Statements of PW-4 Adesh and PW-3 Roshan were recorded at the spot by the IO in his presence as stated by PW-6 HC Ram Gopal. Statement of PW-2 Anuj was also recorded at the spot in the same transaction whereas these witnesses are saying that their statements were recorded in police station.

- 13) Though, there are some more minor points to show the discrepancies, improbabilities and contradictions in the statement

of witnesses and prosecution case but above mentioned grounds itself are sufficient to give benefit of doubt to the accused persons. Accordingly, it is held that prosecution is not able to prove the case beyond doubt, so all the three accused persons are acquitted. Their bail bonds are cancelled and file be consigned to record room.

Dt- 3-8-2026.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Principal District & Sessions Judge..