

SC No. 81/2015, 58564/2016
STATE Vs. ROHIT @ ROBIN @ BABLOO
FIR No. 408/2015
PS (K.N. Katju Marg)

26.09.2025

Present: Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused Rakesh @ Raka produced from JC through VC.

Accused Rohit @ Robin @ Babloo is absent.

Surety of accused Rohit @ Robin @ Babloo is absent.

Ld. counsel for the surety of accused Rohit @ Robin @ Babloo is present through VC.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the surety of accused Rohit @ Robin @ Babloo that the surety is unable to come before the court today due to death of his some relative. From the perusal of the record, it is evident that the surety has failed to discharge his duty to produce the accused Rohit @ Robin @ Babloo before the court or to furnish fresh particular with respect to his custody if any. In these circumstances, let notice under section 446 Cr.P.C be issued against the surety of the accused Rohit @ Robin @ Babloo for next date of hearing.

Meanwhile, NBWs be issued against accused accused Rohit @ Robin @ Babloo for the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on 28.11.2025.

(VANDANA)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
26.09.2025