

44 LAC 28/22 RAMESH KUMAR (04-02/03) Vs. U.O.I
(PANSALI)

16.03.2026

Present: Counsel for petitioner.
Counsel for applicant / Goverdhan.
Counsel for R-1/UOI.
Counsel for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from the LAC Office.

An application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by applicant / Goverdhan is pending disposal for his impleadment.

Submissions on said application heard.

Counsel for petitioner submits that he has no objection, if the application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC is allowed. **Accordingly, the said application is allowed and disposed off.**

Another application u/o 22 Rule 3 CPC in respect of deceased applicant Goverdhan is pending. It is supported with the death certificate and SMC. **Accordingly, the same is allowed.**

UOI has led the evidence and has exhibited the award. The beneficiary department has adopted the evidence led on behalf of the UOI. Accordingly, RE stands closed.

At this stage, final arguments heard.

Vide separate judgment passed and announced in the open court, reference petition stands answered. Parties to bear their own costs. A copy of this Award be sent to the LAC for information, necessary action and expeditious compliance for remittance of the amount.

File be consigned to record room after necessary compliance.

(Sidharth Mathur)
District Judge-01(N)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/16.03.2026/ak