

MACT 100/19

MASTER SIDDHANT SINGH Vs. MANOJ KUMAR

24.04.2026

Present: Ms. Tanya, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.  
Shri Dewan Singh, Ld. Counsel for driver and  
owner.  
None for insurance company.

Application under order 9 Rule 7 CPC filed by counsel for R1 and R2 for setting aside order dated 07.02.2020. Copy of the application supplied to Ld. Counsel for petitioner.

Copy of Aadhar card of both respondents filed on record alongwith the application.

Heard. Record perused.

Considering the reasons mentioned in the aforesaid application, the same is allowed.

WS of R1 and R2 is taken on record. Copy supplied.

There is no requirement of re-framing issues as no separate triable issue has been raised in WS. Counsel for R1 and R2 submits that he does not want to recall any witness for cross examination.

List of documents filed by the petitioner alongwith copy of chargesheet, FIR and copy of permit.

Another application filed on behalf of petitioner for re-opening of PE to examine doctor as well as to prove the medical bills filed today.

**Let the witness be summoned on filing of PF, for NDOH. Dasti summons be also issued to the witness. Diet money be paid on the spot.**

Put up on 22.05.2026 at 2.00 PM.

(Richa Manchanda)  
Judge MACT-2 (North)  
Rohini Courts, Delhi/24.04.2026