

8 CS DJ 522/24 Anmona Gupta Vs. Rajan Prasad Gupta
16.10.2025

Present: Plaintiff in person.

Sh. Umesh Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

The perusal of the record shows that plaintiff claims to be the co-owner of the suit property. She also claims that she was in the possession of suit property on the date of the filing of the suit. However, not even a single document or photograph has been placed on record suggesting her possession at the suit property. Accordingly, she is required to pay court fee on her half share on the suit property. That be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

The application of the plaintiff u/o 39 Rule 2A CPC is also pending. Arguments thereupon shall be heard on the next date.

To come up for further proceedings on **18.02.2026**.

(Sidharth Mathur)
District Judge-01(N)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/16.10.2025