

S.C. NO.58337/16.
STATE VS PARVEEN SHOKEEN.
FIR NO.588/14.
PS- KNK MARG.
09.07.2019

Present: Sh. Ashok Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Accused on bail and present in person.
Shri Gaurav Bhatia, Id. Counsel for accused.
Sh. Roshan Lal Saini, Id. Counsel for complainant/prosecutrix.

Notice sent to the prosecutrix received back served but she is not present today. However, Shri Roshan Lal Saini, Id. Counsel for prosecutrix submitted that the lady is unable take leave from her office and seeks adjournment. His request is allowed and he is directed to ask the lady to appear on the next date. Simultaneously, notice be issued to the prosecutrix to be served through IO.

The prosecutrix was examined-in-chief partly on 08.02.2019 and her further examination-in-chief was deferred because the original complaint filed by her under Section 200 Cr.P.C. and application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. were not on the file. Perusal of the present file shows that the photocopies of the those documents are on the file. Ld. defence counsel stated that he has no objection if the photocopies of those documents are exhibited in the further examination of the prosecutrix. In view of oral statement of Id. defence counsel, there is no need to call Ahlmad alongwith file containing complaint u/S. 200 Cr.P.C. and application u/S. 156(3) Cr.P.C.

Put up on 25.11.2019 for PE.

(Umed Singh Grewal)
ASJ : Spl. FTC (North)
Rohini Courts : Delhi:09.07.2019