

04.11.2025

Present: Sh. Sunil Dahiya, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Harshit Jain, Ld. Counsel for D1.
Ms. Astha Vashisth, Ld. Counsel for D2.

An application u/o 6 Rule 17 CPC has been filed by the plaintiff. Copy supplied.

Both the Ld. counsels for the defendants submits that they do not want to file any reply to the said application and have no objection if the said application is allowed.

Record Perused.

It is trite law that the primary objective of the provision of Order VI Rule 17 CPC is to enable both the parties to present their complete case and ensure that the real issues in controversy between the parties are determined. By way of the application under consideration the proposed amendment sought by the plaintiff are in line with the original cause of action. Moreover, trial has not yet commenced and thus the embargo under the proviso to Order VI Rule 17 is also not attracted. Therefore, the application filed by the plaintiff under Order VI Rule 17 CPC is hereby allowed.

Amended plaint filed along with the application is taken on record.

Both the defendants are at liberty to file their amended WS, if any, within 30 days from today with an advance copy to the other side.

At request of the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, list the matter for arguments on the application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC filed by D1 and D2 on **20.02.2026**.

(Ravinder Singh II)
DJ-04, North, Rohini Courts,
Delhi/04.11.2025