

CNR No.:DLNT01-001190-2024
CR Revision No. 20/2024
Dr. Sanjay Ahuja Vs Govt of NCT of Delhi

25.11.2024

Present: Sh. Abhimanyu Lal and Ms.Jyoti Bajaj, Ld.
Counsels for Revisionist.
Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for State/respondent
no.1.
Sh. Arjun Anand, Ld. Counsel for respondent no.2.

It is pointed out by Ld. Counsel for both parties qua placing on record some additional documents moved on behalf of revisionist is already pending on record.

Arguments on the afore-mentioned application heard at length from both sides.

Ld. Counsel for revisionist has argued that the revisionist wants to file the relevant documents which are most important for just decision of the case. It is further argued that no prejudice would be caused to the respondent by placing these documents on record at this stage. It is therefore prayed that the present application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for respondent no.2 has strongly opposed the present application by submitting that vide order dated 06.01.2024, Ld. Trial Court was pleased to issue directions regarding FIR against malafide conduct of the revisionist. It is further argued that the entire contents of the present application and revision petition of the revisionist are false and vexatious and are preferred with a sole malafide intentions to mislead the Hon'ble Court.

It is further argued that through the present application, revisionist is attempting to bring additional documents on record in an revision petition against the order allowing application under section

.....Contd2/-

156 (3) Cr PC which is barred by law as well same cannot be permitted at this stage. It is therefore, prayed that the present application may kindly be dismissed.

This Court has given thoughtful consideration to the arguments advanced on behalf of Ld. Counsel for the revisionist/accused as well as Ld. Counsel for the respondent no.2 and also perused the material available on record.

Perusal of the Trial Court Record shows that vide impugned order dated 06.01.2024, Ld. Trial Court had ordered for registration of FIR by allowing the application under section 156 (3) Cr PC moved on behalf of respondent. A limited question before this Court is whether or not the impugned order dated 06.01.2024 passed by Ld. Trial Court is free from any illegality, infirmity or adversity, therefore, additional document which were not available before Ld. Trial Court cannot be taken into consideration at this stage. Therefore, the application for taking additional documents on record moved on behalf of revisionist is hereby dismissed.

Part arguments on revision petition heard.

Be put up for remaining arguments on the present revision petition on 15.01.2025.

Interim orders to continue till NDOH.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge-04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
25.11.2024 ^(R)