

24 CS DJ 58736/16
MS DYNAMIC LABELS PACKAGING
Vs. THEMIS MEDICARE LTD

21.10.2024

Present: Sh. Amit Kumar, counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Rajiv Kumar Sharma, Counsel for the defendant.
(through VC).

Counsel for the defendant states that he will argue his application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC physically on NDOH.

Counsel for the plaintiff states that he does not wish to file reply as the application itself is not maintainable in view of orders dt. 24.08.2015.

At request of counsel for the defendant to come up for arguments on application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC and for PE on **14.11.2024**.

Perusal of the summons shows that concerned witness from Registrar of firms is duly served.

None is present on behalf of office of Registrar of firms. Issue show cause notice under Order 16 Rule 12 CPC to the concerned witness to show cause the reason for his absence despite service of summons.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/21.10.2024