

MS DYNAMIC LABELS PACKAGING Vs. THEMIS MEDICARE LTD

31.08.2024

Present: Sh. Rajeev Kumar Sharma, Counsel for the defendant through VC.

Sh. Arunesh Bansal PW 1 is present in the court and ready to be examined and cross examined.

Be awaited for presence of the counsel for the plaintiff.

To come up at 11:30 at request of counsel for the plaintiff.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/31.08.2024

At 12:05 p.m.

Present: Sh. Amit Kumar, counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Rajiv Kumar Sharma, counsel for the defendant.

PW 1 is present in the court and ready to be cross examined. An application under order 7 Rule 11 CPC has been filed by counsel for the defendant. Copy supplied.

Counsel for the defendant states that his application be decided first. He has been requested to complete the cross examination. He states that he will further cross examined the witness after his application is decided. The counsel for the plaintiff strongly opposes the request and states that the witness must be cross examined and he is waiting since morning.

In considered opinion of the court, no ground to defer the cross examination is made out. PW 1 is discharged unexamined.

MS DYNAMIC LABELS PACKAGING Vs. THEMIS MEDICARE

LTD

At this stage, counsel for the defendant requests that he may be allowed to complete his cross examination. Witness has no objection.

PW 1 has been cross examined and is discharged.

At request of counsel for the plaintiff to come up for reply with advance copy to counsel opposite and arguments on application under order 7 Rule 11 CPC dated 28.08.2024 and remaining PE on 21.10.2024.

Counsel for the defendant states that costs imposed vide order dated 02.08.2024 be waived. Since the witness has been cross examined today and the counsel for the defendant states that he was in Dehradun, the costs are reduced to Rs. 1500/-. Same has been paid to the plaintiff.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/31.08.2024