

5 MACT 102/23
PRAMOD Vs. M/S RG PULSES

23.11.2024

Present: Sh. Rahul Verma, Proxy counsel for petitioner.

Driver is not traceable.

None for owner.

Ms. Nazneen, Proxy counsel for Insurance Company.

WS filed on behalf of Insurance company. Copy supplied.

Owner has not filed reply despite opportunity.

It is seen that IO in the present case filed report U/s 173 Cr.P.C. keeping name of the owner in Column No. 12 and stating that driver could not be traced.

Issue notice to IO to appear in person and explain as to how name of the owner was mentioned in Column No.12 and steps taken by him to trace the driver.

Since in the present case driver is not traceable, put up for arguments on maintainability of the petition U/s 166 M.V. Act.

Put up on **30.01.2025**.

(Richa Manchanda)
Judge MACT-2 (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/23.11.2024