

MISC CRL 527/2025
SAURABH LUTHRA Vs. STATE
FIR NO. 154/2025
PS Mukherji Nagar

01.06.2026

Present: Dr. Sarita Rani, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Ujjawal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through VC.
Sh. Vishwajeet Singh Shekhawat, Ld. Counsel for respondent through VC.

The present application is seeking rectification/clarification/modification of order dated 11.12.2025 passed in the Bail Application no. 2284, moved on behalf of applicant/ accused Saurabh Luthra.

Arguments have already been heard on the present application.

Original bail application has been received from Bail Section.

Through the present application, applicant is sought rectification in order dated 11.12.2025 stating that in para 4 of page 5 of the said order, it has been wrongly recorded that State has argued that the applicant was not present in Goa on the day of incident, however, it was neither argued on behalf of State nor on behalf of applicant. Secondly, in para 7(e) at page 8 of the said order, it has wrongly been recorded that applicant left for Phuket (Thailand) prior to the incident, though, at any point of time, the applicant neither by way of averment in his bail application nor during the course of arguments stated that he left prior to the incident.

It was argued by ld. Counsel for respondents that the

present application is not maintainable as under the garb of present application review of the said bail order has been sought, which is not maintainable. It was further argued that otherwise also on merits, application is not maintainable as all the facts were rightly noted down while passing the said order as they were based on wrong averments of the applicant and on the basis of oral arguments of the counsel on both sides.

I have heard the arguments from both the Ld. Counsels and also perused the record.

Perusal of record reveals that in para 4 of the application, it was categorically mentioned by the applicant that accused/applicant left on 06.12.2025 in relation to business purpose though the incident is of 07.12.2025 and as per the booking details, applicant travelled on 07.12.2025. Therefore, it was argued on behalf of State that applicant has made false averments in the application that he has left to Phuket (Thailand) on 06.12.2025 which means prior to the incident. This fact was again impressed upon by Ld. Counsel for State even during the course of arguments on the present application.

Therefore, it is evident that whatever was noted down in the order dated 11.12.2025, was noted down on the basis of averments/ submissions and material available on record. Hence, the present application is baseless and without merit and is accordingly dismissed.

(Vandana)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
01.06.2026