

IA No. 09/2025 in SC 121/2022
STATE Vs. Ratish Thakur
FIR NO. 604/2021
PS Model Town
U/s 392/397/201/120B/34 IPC
& 25/27 Arms Act

14.01.2026

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Ratish Thakur.

Present:- Dr. Sarita Rani, Ld. Additional PP for the State.

Reply to the bail application has already been filed.

Arguments have already been heard from Mr.Sudeep Sharma, Ld. Dy. Chief LADC for applicant/accused and from Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

1. Briefly stated, the present has been registered on the complaint of complainant Manmeet Guleria, in which he stated that two people had looted an amount of Rs.6,06,000/- from the showroom 24 Carate at Shop No. 3,B-1/157, Gujranwala Town, Delhi, at gunpoint. Co-accused Deep Shubham was arrested and in his disclosure statement he stated that he robbed 24 Carate at Shop No.3,B-1/157, Gujranwala Town, Delhi with the help of Ratish Thakur, applicant herein. Accused Ratish Thakur, applicant herein was arrested from his address Village Bel. PS Bairganiyam Distt. Sitamarhi, Bihar and bag used in the offence was recovered from his possession which was seized. Co-accused Deep Shubham and applicant Ratish Thakur met in Seetamarhi Jail when Ratish was put behind bars in a murder case in FIR No. 93/2016 U/s 302

IPC. Accused told that he had spent all the money that he had robbed from the showroom and thrown away the knife which he had carried during robbery. Eventually, the present FIR has been registered.

2. It was argued by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused that accused is running in JC since 01.12.2021 and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Applicant has old aged father to take care of. It was further argued that in the CCTV footage, some persons were visible but could not be identified as they were wearing helmets. The applicant/accused was arrested merely on the disclosure statement of the co-accused. It was further argued that there is no connection between the applicant/accused and co-accused Deep Shubham, who has jumped the bail and trial is protracting because of him and applicant/ accused is suffering for his fault. It was further argued that material witnesses have examined and trial will take its own course and it is prayed that a lenient view be taken.

3. Ld. Additional PP for the State vehemently opposed the bail application submitting that the allegations levelled against the applicant/accused are very grave and serious in nature and the accused has played an active role in committing the crime and earlier bail applications of the applicant/accused had been dismissed.

4. Record perused. I have also perused the report filed by the IO.

5. There is also a CCTV footage of the incident. It is reported by the IO, applicant/accused is visible in the CCTV footage. Applicant/accused has been identified by PW1 Manmeet Guleria during her testimony as the person who pointed knife towards her and guard at the time of commission of robbery. PW2 Ram Singh also identified the applicant/accused as the person, who robbed his Samsung mobile phone and put knife on his stomach. A bag which was used at the time of robbery has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. He is also stated to be involved in another murder case in FIR bearing no. 93/2016, U/s 302 IPC, PS Berganiya (Bihar).

In the present case, owing to the gravity of the offence and the active role played by the applicant/accused, applicant does not warrant any leniency at this stage. Earlier bail applications of the applicant/accused have been dismissed. Since the dismissal of last bail application, there is no change in circumstance has been brought forth into the knowledge of the court. Several witnesses are remained to be examined including one public witness.

6. Seeing the totality of the fact and circumstances and the above said discussion, no ground for grant of bail is made out at this stage. The bail application accordingly **dismissed**.

Application stands disposed off.

7. *It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.*

8. Copy of the order be given *dasti* to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused as well as be sent to concerned IO, concerned Jail Superintendent, Ld. Secretary, DLSA (North), through all possible modes, as per rules.

(Vandana)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
14.01.2026