

14-10-2019

Present: Sh. R.S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.

Sh. P.B. Sahu, Counsel for UOI/R-1

Sh. Ajay Garg, counsel for DSIIDC/R-2.

Sh. Prabhunath Singh, Kanoongo for LAC

Counsel for R-1 filed the reply.

Counsel for respondents addressed the arguments on the application.

Arguments heard. As per record the plaintiff has moved the present application almost after expiry of a period of one year from the date when he closed his evidence.

As submitted by counsel for the respondents that no plausible explanation has been mentioned in the application for reopening of the plaintiff evidence. However, keeping in view the witness intended to be examined is the official witness, the application is allowed subject to cost of Rs.3000/- to be equally shared by the counsel for the respondents.

As noted, the Ld. Predecessor of this Court has already allowed the application for summoning of the witnesses for today and the same stands served. Witness is not present. Accordingly matter is passed over. Be awaited.

(PANKAJ GUPTA)

ADJ-1(N)/Rohini/Delhi/14-10-2019

11.20 AM

Present: Sh. R.S. Dalal, Counsel for Petitioner.

Sh. P.B. Sahu, Counsel for UOI/R-1

Sh. Ajay Garg, counsel for DSIIDC/R-2.

Sh. Prabhunath Singh, Kanoongo for LAC.

Counsel for the petitioner paid the cost of Rs.1500/- each in cash to the counsel respondents who duly acknowledged the receipt.

No witness present from the office of LAC North.  
PW be summoned again.

As requested, petitioner is given opportunity to take fresh steps for summoning of the witnesses within two weeks from today.

Put up for PE on 13-01-2020.

(PANKAJ GUPTA)  
ADJ-1(N)/Rohini/Delhi/14-10-2019