

01.11.2023

The criminal revision petition along with an application u/s 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay, was received on self allocation on 21.10.2023, when the court was on leave. The same be checked and registered, as per rules.

Present : Sh. Raghav Kapoor, Ld. Counsel for the revisionist.

Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Copy of the revision has been given to the State.

Heard. File perused.

Originally the revisionist was the complainant, on whose application u/s 156(3) CrPC, the FIR in question got registered, and pursuant to subsequent proceedings before the Ld. MM, eleven accused persons were chargesheeted. Subsequently vide the impugned order, seven accused persons have been discharged.

It is aggrieved by this order, the instant revision has been filed, not by the State, but by the complainant, who happens now to be only a witness in the State case.

After deliberations with the Ld. Addl. PP for the State, Ld. Counsel for the revisionist has sought a short adjournment to check up the law.

As prayed, matter be listed for further arguments, on 05.12.2023.

(Seema Maini)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
North District, Rohini, Delhi/01.11.2023