

CS DJ 600/17
RAKESH SHARMA Vs. DELHI DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY

16.10.24

Present: Sh. I.S. Israili, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff, through
VC.
Sh. Sushant Bali, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.7 to
11, through VC.
Sh. L.S. Solanki, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 &
4.
Sh. Virender Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for LR's of
deceased defendant no.2.

Written statements on behalf of defendants no.7 to
11 along with reply to the application under Order XXXIX Rule
1 & 2 CPC and application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC has
been filed on dated 01.10.2024, however, it is submitted by Ld.
Counsel for aforesaid defendants that WS had been filed online
on dated 21.09.2024.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is objecting to the filing of
written statement by the aforesaid defendants being time barred.

Heard.

In the interest of justice, written statements filed on
behalf of aforesaid defendants are taken on record and the delay,
if any, also stands condoned.

Reply to the application filed on behalf of plaintiff
under Order VI Rule 17 CPC, has already been filed on behalf of
defendant no.3 and 4 on dated 20.11.2023 and on behalf of LR's
of deceased defendant no.2 on dated 14.03.2024. Let copy of
reply be supplied to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff within one week
from today.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is directed to supply copy of application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC to Ld. Counsel for remaining defendants within one week from today.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is directed to file reply to the application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC with advance copy to Ld. Counsels of concerned defendants.

Put up the case for arguments on application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC, on 31.01.2025.

(Kishor Kumar)
DJ-04, North, Rohini Courts,
Delhi/16.10.24.